MANITOBA PROVINCIAL PARTY SUPPORT AND FAITH IN GOVERNMENT



Winnipeg Free Press RESEARCH INC.

PROBE

PROBE RESEARCH INC.

For More Information:

Scott MacKay

President (204) 926-6565 scott@probe-research.com

Probe Research Inc.

603 – 191 Lombard Ave. Winnipeg, MB R3B 0X1 (204) 926-6565

www.probe-research.com



Key Findings

- Support for Manitoba's governing Progressive Conservatives has plummeted to a recent low, with nearly twice as many voters now favouring the opposition NDP. If an election were held tomorrow, 47 per cent of voters would cast a ballot for Wab Kinew's NDP, while only 29 per cent would vote for Premier Brian Pallister's Tories. In Winnipeg, this gap is a chasm. The NDP has a 33-point lead over the PCs in Winnipeg and enjoys healthy support in every corner of the city, including the battleground southern suburbs.
 - There is also a significant gender chasm, as the NDP has a 34-point lead over the PCs among women and are now slightly more likely to be favoured among men across the province.
- More than one-half of Manitobans *strongly* disapprove of the job Premier Pallister has done managing the COVID-19 pandemic. Health Minister Heather Stefanson fares only slightly better – one-third *strongly* disapprove of her handling of her pandemic portfolio. However, Manitobans still generally approve of the job public health officials such as Dr. Brent Roussin, Dr. Joss Reimer and Lanette Siragusa are doing managing the virus. Even here, though, confidence in these officials has begun to deteriorate 15 months into the pandemic.
- The province's handling of the pandemic has significantly eroded Manitobans' faith in government. Nearly one-half say their confidence in government has decreased *a lot*. This erosion of faith is strongest among women, younger adults and Winnipeggers. Specifically, fewer than one-half are confident the health care system will be able to save their life in an emergency, and only one-third feel sure the government can manage a crisis effectively.

About the Probe Research Omnibus

For more than two decades, Probe Research Inc. has undertaken quarterly omnibus surveys of random and representative samples of Manitoba adults. These scientific telephone surveys have provided strategic and proprietary insights to hundreds of public, private and notfor-profit clients on a range of social, cultural and public policy topics. The Probe Research Omnibus Survey is the province's largest and most trusted general population survey.

Survey Instrument

The survey instrument was designed by Probe Research in close consultation with *Winnipeg Free Press*

Methodology

Between June 2nd and 11th, 2021 Probe Research surveyed a random and representative sampling of 1,000 adults residing in Manitoba.

With a sample of 1,000, one can say with 95 percent certainty that the results are within \pm 3.1 percentage points of what they would have been if the entire adult population of Manitoba had been surveyed. The margin of error is higher within each of the survey's population sub-groups.

The sample consists of 454 Manitobans randomly recruited via live-agent operator; 295 Manitobans randomly recruited via Interactive Voice Response (IVR) and 251 members of Probe Research's online panel. All respondents completed the survey on an online platform.

Modified random digit dialing, including both landline and wireless numbers, ensured all Manitoba adults had an equal opportunity to participate in this Probe Research survey.

Minor statistical weighting has been applied to this sample to ensure that age and gender characteristics properly reflect known attributes of the province's population. All data analysis was performed using SPSS statistical analysis software.

ectionsManitoba

VOTE HERE

Provincial Vote Intentions

Photo: Winnipeg Free Press

Provincial party support in Manitoba: Tracking

NDP lead expands, and Tory decline continues abruptly



Q1/2. If a provincial election were held tomorrow, which party's candidate would you be most likely to support? Even though you have not decided whom you would vote for, is there nonetheless a provincial party's candidate that you think you might want to support or are currently leaning toward? Base: All respondents (N=1,000)

PROBE RESEARCH INC.

Provincial party support in Winnipeg: Tracking

NDP skyrockets in Winnipeg



Q1/2. If a provincial election were held tomorrow, which party's candidate would you be most likely to support? Even though you have not decided whom you would vote for, is there nonetheless a provincial party's candidate that you think you might want to support or are currently leaning toward? Base: All respondents (N=1,000)

Provincial party support by area of Winnipeg

NDP has a 2-to-1 lead over the Tories in the battleground suburbs



Q1/2. If a provincial election were held tomorrow, which party's candidate would you be most likely to support? Even though you have not decided whom you would vote for, is there nonetheless a provincial party's candidate that you think you might want to support or are currently leaning toward? Base: All respondents (N=1,000)

PROBE RESEARCH INC.

Provincial party support by socio-demographic sub-groups

"Gender chasm" now has NDP claiming support from more than one-half of female voters

	Tatal		Ger	nder		Age							
	Total		Men	١	Nomen	18-34	35	-54	55+				
(Unweighted)	(1,000)		(491)		(504)	(218)	(4	15)	(367)				
(Base)	(1,000)		(487)		(487)		(506)	(332)	(3	68)	(300)		
NDP	47		40		55	53	4	6	43				
PC	29		37		21	22	27		37				
Liberal	14		11		16	14	1	5	11				
Green	5		5		5	3	-	7	4				
			Education				Reg	gion					
	HS or L	ess	Some Post-Sec).	Post-Sec. Grad	Winnipe	g	Res	t of Manitoba				
(Unweighted)	(155))	(363)		(460)	(600)			(400)				
(Base)	(168))	(366)		(442)	(600)			(400)				
NDP	30		43		56	55			35				
PC	41		35		20	22			40				
Liberal	10		13		16	16			10				
Green	8		5		4	5			5				
			Income				Indig	enous					
	<\$501	K	\$50K-\$99	K	\$100K+	Yes			Νο				
(Unweighted)	(154))	(335)		(369)	(100)			(860)				
(Base)	(168))	(350)		(350)		(350)		(348)	(102)			(856)
NDP	41		47		50	61			47				
PC	31		28		29	17			30				
Liberal	16		15		12	14			13				
Green	5		5		4	5			5				

Pandemic Performance

Photo: Winnipeg Free Press

Approval of public health officials and political leaders

More than one-half strongly disapprove of Premier Pallister's handling of the pandemic

-	■ Stro app	••	omewhat prove	Somewhat disapprove		■ Strongl disappr	•	% Approve
Brian Pallister, Premier of Manitoba	8%	21%	19%		529	%		29%
Heather Stefanson, Manitoba's Minister of Health, Seniors and Active Living*	7%	38%		28%		27	%	45%
Dr. Brent Roussin, Manitoba's Chief Public Health Officer		31%		35%	18	3%	16%	67%
Dr. Joss Reimer, Medical Lead, Manitoba Vaccine Implementation Task Force		30%		44%		14%	12%	74%
Lanette Siragusa, Manitoba's Chief Nursing Officer		30%		45%		15%	9%	75%

Q. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic? For each, please indicate if you strongly approve, somewhat approve, somewhat disapprove or strongly disapprove of their performance.

Base: Manitoba adults (N=1,000)

*Note: Heather Stefanson has been on medical leave as health minister since May 21, with Kelvin Goertzen filling in as acting minister in her absence.

Approval of public health officials and political leaders - Tracking

Approval of public health officials drops since last fall's second wave



% approve (strongly and somewhat)

Those most likely to approve of the performance of provincial public health officials such as Brent Roussin, Lanette Siragusa and Joss Reimer include: Women (vs. men)

- Winnipeggers (vs. non-Winnipeggers)
- Older Manitobans aged 55+ (vs. younger adults)
- Manitobans with higher levels of education and income

Q. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic? For each, please indicate if you strongly approve, somewhat approve, somewhat disapprove or strongly disapprove of their performance.

Base: Manitoba adults (N=1,000)

Faith in Government

Faith in government

Low confidence in the province's ability to deliver health care, education, crisis management



WFP3. Thinking about the provincial government as a whole right now – the elected officials and the public service – how confident are you that the Manitoba government can do the following?

Base: All respondents (N=1,000)

Impact of the pandemic on Manitobans' faith in government

Government's handling of the pandemic has significantly eroded Manitobans' faith in its abilities



WFP4. And, has the provincial government's handling of the pandemic increased or decreased your faith in the provincial government?

Impact on Manitobans' faith in government: By subgroups

Women, younger adults, university graduates most likely to have less faith in government



% whose faith in government has decreased

WFP4. And, has the provincial government's handling of the pandemic increased or decreased your faith in the provincial government?

Base: All respondents (N=1,000)

SECTION WFP
3. Thinking about the provincial government as a whole right now, how confident are that the Manitoba government can do the following?

				PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES		FEMALES			
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	
BASE			(1000)	(600)	(400)	(487)	(506)	(332)	(368)	(300)	(166)	(187)	(135)	(162)	(179)	(165)	
Ensure HC	Very confident	Count	109	64	45	80	29	33	43	33	24	32	24	9	11	8	
system can			11%	11%	11%	16%	6%	10%	12%	11%	15%	17%	18%	6%	6%	5%	
save life in	Somewhat	Count	347	177	169	171	175	122	114	111	61	56	55	61	58	56	
emergency	confident		35%	30%	42%	35%	35%	37%	31%	37%	37%	30%	41%	38%	32%	34%	
	Not very confident	Count	286	180	106	114	170	82	104	100	32	47	35	48	57	66	
			29%	30%	26%	23%	34%	25%	28%	33%	19%	25%	26%	29%	32%	40%	
	Not at all	Count	258	178	80	121	132	95	107	56	49	52	21	44	53	35	
	confident		26%	30%	20%	25%	26%	29%	29%	19%	29%	28%	16%	27%	30%	21%	
Respond to	Very confident	Count	76	41	35	55	22	18	28	30	14	22	18	4	6	12	
crisis			8%	7%	9%	11%	4%	5%	8%	10%	9%	12%	13%	2%	3%	7%	
effectively	Somewhat	Count	284	158	127	136	149	76	108	100	36	52	48	40	57	52	
	confident		28%	26%	32%	28%	29%	23%	29%	33%	22%	28%	36%	25%	32%	32%	
	Not very confident	Count	356	215	140	158	196	118	124	114	56	58	44	60	66	70	
			36%	36%	35%	32%	39%	35%	34%	38%	34%	31%	33%	37%	37%	42%	
	Not at all	Count	284	186	98	139	140	121	107	56	59	54	25	59	50	31	
	confident		28%	31%	24%	28%	28%	36%	29%	19%	36%	29%	19%	36%	28%	19%	
Ensure MB	Very confident	Count	74	41	32	52	22	19	25	29	16	16	20	3	9	10	
students			7%	7%	8%	11%	4%	6%	7%	10%	10%	9%	15%	2%	5%	6%	
receive quality	Somewhat	Count	272	157	115	132	140	78	96	98	34	55	42	43	41	56	
education	confident		27%	26%	29%	27%	28%	23%	26%	33%	21%	29%	32%	27%	23%	34%	
	Not very confident	Count	366	220	147	169	193	127	123	116	63	57	49	60	66	66	
			37%	37%	37%	35%	38%	38%	33%	39%	38%	31%	37%	37%	37%	40%	
	Not at all	Count	288	182	106	134	151	109	124	56	52	59	23	56	62	33	
	confident		29%	30%	27%	28%	30%	33%	34%	19%	32%	31%	17%	35%	35%	20%	

SECTION WFP
3. Thinking about the provincial government as a whole right now, how confident are that the Manitoba government can do the following?

					EDUCATION			N <16 AT ME	RESIDENCE		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGENOUS	
			TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE			(1000)	(168)	(366)	(442)	(305)	(689)	(738)	(208)	(168)	(350)	(348)	(102)	(856)
Ensure HC	Very confident	Count	109	23	48	33	34	74	79	25	23	31	41	10	87
system can			11%	14%	13%	8%	11%	11%	11%	12%	14%	9%	12%	10%	10%
save life in	Somewhat	Count	347	77	110	151	111	235	249	73	66	114	116	37	302
emergency	confident		35%	46%	30%	34%	36%	34%	34%	35%	39%	33%	33%	36%	35%
	Not very confident	Count	286	42	114	124	86	199	221	55	44	104	97	33	241
			29%	25%	31%	28%	28%	29%	30%	26%	26%	30%	28%	32%	28%
	Not at all	Count	258	26	93	134	73	182	188	55	34	101	94	22	226
	confident		26%	16%	25%	30%	24%	26%	26%	27%	20%	29%	27%	21%	26%
Respond to	Very confident	Count	76	22	34	19	16	60	62	12	11	23	34	8	63
crisis			8%	13%	9%	4%	5%	9%	8%	6%	7%	7%	10%	7%	7%
effectively	Somewhat	Count	284	59	99	115	97	186	206	66	50	93	97	31	242
	confident		28%	35%	27%	26%	32%	27%	28%	32%	30%	27%	28%	30%	28%
	Not very confident	Count	356	51	138	161	102	252	272	64	61	122	124	36	308
			36%	30%	38%	36%	34%	37%	37%	31%	36%	35%	36%	36%	36%
	Not at all	Count	284	36	94	146	89	191	198	66	46	111	92	28	243
	confident		28%	22%	26%	33%	29%	28%	27%	32%	28%	32%	27%	27%	28%
Ensure MB	Very confident	Count	74	14	27	29	23	50	51	17	10	23	33	6	61
students			7%	8%	7%	7%	8%	7%	7%	8%	6%	6%	9%	6%	7%
receive quality	Somewhat	Count	272	64	93	106	80	190	198	65	51	90	91	24	237
education	confident		27%	38%	25%	24%	26%	28%	27%	31%	30%	26%	26%	24%	28%
	Not very confident	Count	366	55	154	148	113	253	280	67	68	130	111	39	310
			37%	33%	42%	34%	37%	37%	38%	32%	40%	37%	32%	38%	36%
	Not at all	Count	288	34	92	159	89	196	210	58	39	107	113	33	248
	confident		29%	20%	25%	36%	29%	29%	28%	28%	23%	31%	32%	32%	29%

SECTION WFP 3. Thinking about the provincial government as a whole right now, how confident are that the Manitoba government can do the following? ** SUMMARY TABLE **

			PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES		FEMALES			
	TOTAL Winnipeg				Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	
BASE		(1000)	(600)	(400)	(487)	(506)	(332)	(368)	(300)	(166)	(187)	(135)	(162)	(179)	(165)	
Ensure HC system can	Confident	46%	40%	54%	52%	40%	47%	43%	48%	51%	47%	59%	43%	39%	39%	
save life in emergency	Not confident	54%	60%	46%	48%	60%	53%	57%	52%	49%	53%	41%	57%	61%	61%	
Respond to crisis	Confident	36%	33%	40%	39%	34%	28%	37%	43%	30%	40%	49%	27%	35%	39%	
effectively	Not confident	64%	67%	60%	61%	66%	72%	63%	57%	70%	60%	51%	73%	65%	61%	
Ensure MB students	Confident	35%	33%	37%	38%	32%	29%	33%	43%	31%	38%	46%	28%	28%	40%	
receive quality education	Not confident	65%	67%	63%	62%	68%	71%	67%	57%	69%	62%	54%	72%	72%	60%	

SECTION WFP 3. Thinking about the provincial government as a whole right now, how confident are that the Manitoba government can do the following? ** SUMMARY TABLE **

				EDUCATION		-	N <16 AT ME	RESID	ENCE	HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	INDIGENOUS		
	TOTAL			Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE	(1000)	(168)	(366)	(442)	(305)	(689)	(738)	(208)	(168)	(350)	(348)	(102)	(856)	
Ensure HC system can	Confident	46%	59%	43%	42%	47%	45%	44%	47%	53%	42%	45%	46%	45%
save life in emergency	Not confident	54%	41%	57%	58%	53%	55%	56%	53%	47%	58%	55%	54%	55%
Respond to crisis	Confident	36%	48%	36%	30%	37%	36%	36%	38%	36%	33%	38%	37%	36%
effectively	Not confident	64%	52%	64%	70%	63%	64%	64%	62%	64%	67%	62%	63%	64%
Ensure MB students	Confident	35%	47%	33%	31%	34%	35%	34%	40%	36%	32%	36%	30%	35%
receive quality education	Not confident	65%	53%	67%	69%	66%	65%	66%	60%	64%	68%	64%	70%	65%

SECTION WFP
4. Has the provincial government's handling of the pandemic increased, or decreased, your faith in the provincial government?

				PROVINCI	AL REGION	GENDER			AGE			MALES		FEMALES		
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(1000)	(600)	(400)	(487)	(506)	(332)	(368)	(300)	(166)	(187)	(135)	(162)	(179)	(165)
GOV HANDLING	Increased faith	Count	41	27	14	27	15	9	19	13	6	11	9	3	8	4
OF PANDEMIC	a lot		4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	6%	7%	2%	4%	2%
	Increased faith	Count	77	37	39	38	39	20	24	33	9	14	15	11	10	17
	a little		8%	6%	10%	8%	8%	6%	7%	11%	5%	7%	11%	7%	6%	11%
	No change	Count	179	98	81	105	74	46	71	62	30	45	31	16	26	32
			18%	16%	20%	22%	15%	14%	19%	21%	18%	24%	23%	10%	15%	19%
	Decreased	Count	227	147	80	94	133	67	76	84	28	29	37	39	47	47
	faith a little		23%	24%	20%	19%	26%	20%	21%	28%	17%	16%	27%	24%	26%	29%
	Decreased	Count	476	291	185	224	246	190	178	107	93	88	43	93	88	65
	faith a lot		48%	48%	46%	46%	49%	57%	48%	36%	56%	47%	32%	58%	49%	39%
Summary	Increased		12%	11%	13%	13%	11%	9%	12%	15%	9%	13%	18%	9%	10%	13%
	Same		18%	16%	20%	22%	15%	14%	19%	21%	18%	24%	23%	10%	15%	19%
	Decreased		70%	73%	66%	65%	75%	78%	69%	64%	73%	63%	59%	82%	75%	68%

SECTION WFP
4. Has the provincial government's handling of the pandemic increased, or decreased, your faith in the provincial government?

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO	N <16 AT ME	RESID	ENCE	но	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGENOUS	
			TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE: WINNIPEG			(1000)	(168)	(366)	(442)	(305)	(689)	(738)	(208)	(168)	(350)	(348)	(102)	(856)
GOV HANDLING	Increased faith	Count	41	11	14	15	10	31	25	16	11	15	13	3	34
OF PANDEMIC	a lot		4%	6%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	8%	7%	4%	4%	3%	4%
	Increased faith	Count	77	8	34	29	24	51	58	15	16	27	24	6	67
	a little		8%	5%	9%	7%	8%	7%	8%	7%	9%	8%	7%	6%	8%
	No change	Count	179	42	64	68	58	121	131	37	30	53	69	25	144
			18%	25%	18%	15%	19%	18%	18%	18%	18%	15%	20%	25%	17%
	Decreased	Count	227	37	81	104	66	160	170	46	41	72	78	20	200
	faith a little		23%	22%	22%	23%	22%	23%	23%	22%	25%	21%	23%	19%	23%
	Decreased	Count	476	69	172	226	146	326	354	93	70	183	164	48	411
	faith a lot		48%	41%	47%	51%	48%	47%	48%	45%	41%	52%	47%	47%	48%
Summary	Increased		12%	11%	13%	10%	11%	12%	11%	15%	16%	12%	10%	9%	12%
	Same		18%	25%	18%	15%	19%	18%	18%	18%	18%	15%	20%	25%	17%
	Decreased		70%	64%	69%	75%	70%	71%	71%	67%	66%	73%	70%	66%	71%

SECTION ES
3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic?

				PROVINCI	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES		FEMALES		
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE			(1000)	(600)	(400)	(487)	(506)	(332)	(368)	(300)	(166)	(187)	(135)	(162)	(179)	(165)
LANETTE SIRAGUSA	Strongly approve	Count	301 30%	203 34%	98 24%	133 27%	166 33%	74 22%	108 29%	119 40%	36 22%	50 27%	48 35%	38 23%	57 32%	71 43%
	Somewhat approve	Count	450	270	180	212	237	140	175	135	63	86	63	75	89	72
			45%	45%	45%	43%	47%	42%	48%	45%	38%	46%	47%	46%	50%	44%
	Somewhat	Count	155	90	65	82	70	73	50	32	34	32	16	38	17	16
	disapprove		15%	15%	16%	17%	14%	22%	14%	11%	21%	17%	12%	23%	9%	9%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	95	37	57	60	34	46	35	14	33	19	8	11	16	6
			9%	6%	14%	12%	7%	14%	9%	5%	20%	10%	6%	7%	9%	4%
DR. JOSS	Strongly approve	Count	298	190	108	142	155	79	106	113	43	50	48	35	56	64
REIMER			30%	32%	27%	29%	31%	24%	29%	38%	26%	27%	36%	21%	31%	39%
	Somewhat approve	Count	440	280	161	202	235	130	174	137	56	87	59	73	84	78
			44%	47%	40%	42%	46%	39%	47%	46%	34%	47%	44%	45%	47%	47%
		Count	144	90	54	71	72	66	47	31	25	28	18	40	20	13
	disapprove		14%	15%	13%	15%	14%	20%	13%	10%	15%	15%	13%	24%	11%	8%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	118	40	77	72	44	57	41	20	41	22	9	14	19	10
			12%	7%	19%	15%	9%	17%	11%	7%	25%	12%	7%	9%	11%	6%
DR. BRENT ROUSSIN	Strongly approve	Count	314	202	112	146	168	71	116	127	34	57	55	36	59	72
			31%	34%	28%	30%	33%	21%	31%	42%	21%	30%	41%	22%	33%	44%
	Somewhat approve	Count	352	227	124	165	184	102	133	116	48	67	50	51	66	66
			35%	38%	31%	34%	36%	31%	36%	39%	29%	36%	37%	32%	37%	40%
	Somewhat	Count	175	112	64	79	94	74	66	35	27	34	18	45	31	18
	disapprove		18%	19%	16%	16%	18%	22%	18%	12%	16%	18%	13%	28%	17%	11%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	159	59	100	97	61	86	53	21	56	28	12	30	23	9
			16%	10%	25%	20%	12%	26%	14%	7%	34%	15%	9%	18%	13%	5%
HEATHER	Strongly approve	Count	73	46	26	44	29	20	24	29	12	15	17	8	9	12
STEFANSON			7%	8%	7%	9%	6%	6%	7%	10%	7%	8%	13%	5%	5%	7%
	Somewhat approve	Count	376	203	172	168	207	122	128	126	57	62	49	65	65	77
			38%	34%	43%	35%	41%	37%	35%	42%	34%	33%	36%	40%	37%	46%
	Somewhat	Count	280	170	109	129	148	87	107	85	37	53	39	48	55	46
	disapprove		28%	28%	27%	26%	29%	26%	29%	28%	22%	28%	29%	29%	31%	28%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	272	180	92	147	121	103	109	60	61	56	29	41	50	31
			27%	30%	23%	30%	24%	31%	30%	20%	37%	30%	22%	25%	28%	19%
BRIAN	Strongly approve	Count	79	36	44	51	28	15	26	38	11	16	25	5	10	14
PALLISTER			8%	6%	11%	11%	6%	5%	7%	13%	6%	8%	18%	3%	6%	8%
	Somewhat approve	Count	206	114	92	105	101	53	79	74	28	42	35	25	38	39
			21%	19%	23%	22%	20%	16%	22%	25%	17%	22%	26%	15%	21%	23%
	Somewhat	Count	191	119	72	91	100	57	67	67	29	40	22	28	26	46
	disapprove		19%	20%	18%	19%	20%	17%	18%	23%	17%	22%	16%	17%	15%	28%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	524	331	192	239	277	207	196	120	98	88	53	105	105	67
			52%	55%	48%	49%	55%	62%	53%	40%	59%	47%	39%	65%	59%	41%

SECTION ES
3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic?

					EDUCATION			EN <16 AT ME	RESID	ENCE	HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIG	INDIGENOUS	
			TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No	
BASE			(1000)	(168)	(366)	(442)	(305)	(689)	(738)	(208)	(168)	(350)	(348)	(102)	(856)	
LANETTE	Strongly approve	Count	301	32	98	165	77	222	234	56	35	97	131	19	274	
SIRAGUSA			30%	19%	27%	37%	25%	32%	32%	27%	21%	28%	38%	19%	32%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	450	83	156	198	126	323	326	98	81	163	142	55	376	
			45%	50%	43%	45%	41%	47%	44%	47%	48%	47%	41%	54%	44%	
	Somewhat	Count	155	27	74	51	57	97	104	40	31	58	49	15	13	
	disapprove		15%	16%	20%	11%	19%	14%	14%	19%	18%	16%	14%	15%	159	
	Strongly	Count	95	25	38	28	44	49	74	14	22	33	26	13	7	
	disapprove		9%	15%	10%	6%	15%	7%	10%	7%	13%	9%	7%	12%	9%	
DR. JOSS	Strongly approve	Count	298	35	97	159	88	208	234	46	26	106	131	18	27	
REIMER			30%	21%	27%	36%	29%	30%	32%	22%	16%	30%	38%	18%	32%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	440	71	158	201	122	317	316	105	82	150	147	55	36	
			44%	42%	43%	45%	40%	46%	43%	51%	48%	43%	42%	54%	43%	
	Somewhat	Count	144	32	62	47	44	100	98	36	35	51	42	13	124	
	disapprove		14%	19%	17%	11%	14%	15%	13%	17%	21%	15%	12%	13%	15%	
	Strongly	Count	118	30	49	35	51	64	89	20	26	43	29	16	9	
	disapprove		12%	18%	13%	8%	17%	9%	12%	10%	15%	12%	8%	16%	119	
DR. BRENT	Strongly approve	Count	314	46	110	147	81	230	233	65	39	95	131	27	27	
ROUSSIN			31%	27%	30%	33%	27%	33%	32%	31%	23%	27%	38%	27%	32%	
ROUSSIN	Somewhat approve	Count	352	52	123	167	99	252	264	69	57	136	113	38	29	
			35%	31%	34%	38%	32%	37%	36%	33%	34%	39%	33%	37%	35%	
	Somewhat	Count	175	25	68	81	55	119	128	41	38	59	59	19	15	
	disapprove		18%	15%	19%	18%	18%	17%	17%	20%	23%	17%	17%	19%	18%	
	Strongly	Count	159	45	65	47	69	88	113	33	34	60	45	18	13	
	disapprove	-	16%	27%	18%	11%	23%	13%	15%	16%	20%	17%	13%	17%	15%	
HEATHER STEFANSON	Strongly approve	Count	73	13	29	30	17	56	52	16	11	20	34	8	5	
STEFANSON		-	7%	8%	8%	7%	6%	8%	7%	8%	7%	6%	10%	8%	79	
	Somewhat approve	Count	376	72	135	156	106	268	268	81	67	123	118	41	32	
			38%	43%	37%	35%	35%	39%	36%	39%	40%	35%	34%	40%	38%	
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	280	47	116	111	88	191	201	68	59	115	80	25	24	
			28%	28%	32%	25%	29%	28%	27%	33%	35%	33%	23%	25%	28%	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	272	36	86	144	94	175	216	44	31	92	116	27	23	
			27%	21%	24%	33%	31%	25%	29%	21%	18%	26%	33%	27%	27%	
BRIAN	Strongly approve	Count	79	22	32	23	17	61	61	13	12	27	30	6	7	
PALLISTER	0		8%	13%	9%	5%	6%	9%	8%	6%	7%	8%	9%	5%	89	
	Somewhat approve	Count	206	36	83	83	69	136	150	48	40	70	72	26	17	
	Concerns to at	0 and	21%	22%	23%	19%	23%	20%	20%	23%	23%	20%	21%	25%	20%	
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	191	35	66	80	59	131	150	31	25	59	72	14	16	
		- Count	19%	21%	18%	18%	19%	19%	20%	15%	15%	17%	21%	14%	19%	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	524	75	184	256	159	361	376	116	91	195	173	57	452	
disapp	alsappiove	1	52%	45%	50%	58%	52%	52%	51%	56%	54%	56%	50%	55%	539	

SECTION ES
3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic?

					PROV	VOTE INTER				TED LAST P	ROV ELECTION	NC	PALLISTER		
			TOTAL	NDP	PC	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Approve	Disapprove	
BASE			(1000)	(373)	(225)	(108)	(38)	(129)	(366)	(275)	(114)	(50)	(286)	(714)	
LANETTE	Strongly approve	Count	301	110	87	37	9	39	120	84	37	13	124	177	
SIRAGUSA			30%	30%	38%	34%	22%	30%	33%	30%	32%	25%	43%	25%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	450	184	83	54	19	63	133	139	56	26	139	311	
			45%	49%	37%	50%	49%	49%	36%	50%	49%	52%	49%	44%	
	Somewhat	Count	155	59	36	11	8	13	59	35	19	9	17	138	
	disapprove		15%	16%	16%	10%	21%	10%	16%	13%	16%	18%	6%	19%	
	Strongly	Count	95	20	19	6	3	13	54	18	3	3	6	89	
	disapprove		9%	5%	8%	5%	8%	10%	15%	6%	3%	5%	2%	12%	
DR. JOSS	Strongly approve	Count	298	108	86	41	9	39	125	74	42	18	135	163	
REIMER			30%	29%	38%	38%	23%	30%	34%	27%	36%	35%	47%	23%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	440	177	85	51	20	62	131	138	49	23	130	311	
			44%	47%	38%	48%	52%	48%	36%	50%	43%	46%	45%	43%	
	Somewhat	Count	144	62	30	9	6	13	48	42	19	7	14	130	
	disapprove		14%	17%	13%	9%	15%	10%	13%	15%	17%	14%	5%	18%	
	Strongly	Count	118	26	25	6	4	16	62	21	4	3	7	111	
	disapprove		12%	7%	11%	6%	10%	12%	17%	8%	4%	5%	2%	16%	
DR. BRENT ROUSSIN	Strongly approve	Count	314	100	97	41	9	43	138	69	44	17	154	160	
			31%	27%	43%	38%	25%	33%	38%	25%	38%	33%	54%	22%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	352	144	68	44	16	46	99	110	41	20	117	234	
			35%	39%	30%	41%	41%	35%	27%	40%	36%	39%	41%	33%	
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	175	94	32	10	8	14	47	72	15	9	12	163	
			18%	25%	14%	9%	20%	11%	13%	26%	13%	19%	4%	23%	
	Strongly	Count	159	35	28	12	6	27	83	24	14	4	2	157	
	disapprove		16%	9%	12%	11%	14%	21%	23%	9%	12%	9%	1%	22%	
HEATHER	Strongly approve	Count	73	11	35	12	3	7	40	9	8	3	54	19	
STEFANSON			7%	3%	16%	11%	8%	5%	11%	3%	7%	6%	19%	3%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	376	101	109	37	16	67	145	74	44	15	182	194	
			38%	27%	48%	34%	41%	52%	40%	27%	38%	30%	64%	27%	
	Somewhat	Count	280	104	59	36	14	29	96	73	38	22	42	238	
	disapprove		28%	28%	26%	34%	38%	22%	26%	27%	34%	43%	15%	33%	
	Strongly	Count	272	157	22	22	5	26	85	119	24	10	8	264	
	disapprove		27%	42%	10%	21%	14%	20%	23%	43%	21%	21%	3%	37%	
BRIAN	Strongly approve	Count	79	1	57	6	1	6	57	1	3		79		
PALLISTER			8%	0%	25%	5%	2%	5%	15%	0%	3%		28%		
	Somewhat approve	Count	206	28	85	25	9	41	100	24	24	10	206		
			21%	7%	38%	23%	24%	31%	27%	9%	21%	20%	72%		
	Somewhat	Count	191	56	49	20	10	24	79	41	24	7		191	
	disapprove		19%	15%	22%	19%	26%	19%	22%	15%	21%	13%		27%	
	Strongly	Count	524	287	34	57	18	58	130	210	64	34		524	
	disapprove		52%	77%	15%	53%	47%	45%	36%	76%	56%	67%		73%	

SECTION ES
3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic?
** SUMMARY TABLE **

			PROVINCIA	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES		FEMALES		
		TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE		(1000)	(600)	(400)	(487)	(506)	(332)	(368)	(300)	(166)	(187)	(135)	(162)	(179)	(165)
LANETTE SIRAGUSA	Approve	75%	79%	69%	71%	80%	64%	77%	85%	60%	73%	82%	70%	82%	87%
	Disapprove	25%	21%	31%	29%	20%	36%	23%	15%	40%	27%	18%	30%	18%	13%
DR. JOSS REIMER	Approve	74%	78%	67%	71%	77%	63%	76%	83%	60%	74%	80%	67%	78%	86%
	Disapprove	26%	22%	33%	29%	23%	37%	24%	17%	40%	26%	20%	33%	22%	14%
DR. BRENT ROUSSIN	Approve	67%	72%	59%	64%	69%	52%	68%	81%	50%	66%	78%	54%	70%	84%
	Disapprove	33%	28%	41%	36%	31%	48%	32%	19%	50%	34%	22%	46%	30%	16%
HEATHER STEFANSON	Approve	45%	42%	50%	43%	47%	43%	41%	51%	41%	42%	49%	45%	42%	54%
	Disapprove	55%	58%	50%	57%	53%	57%	59%	49%	59%	58%	51%	55%	58%	46%
BRIAN PALLISTER	Approve	29%	25%	34%	32%	25%	20%	29%	38%	23%	31%	45%	18%	27%	32%
	Disapprove	71%	75%	66%	68%	75%	80%	71%	62%	77%	69%	55%	82%	73%	68%

SECTION ES 3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic? ** SUMMARY TABLE **

				EDUCATION		CHILDREN <16 AT HOME		RESIDENCE		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	INDIGENOUS		
		TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE		(1000)	(168)	(366)	(442)	(305)	(689)	(738)	(208)	(168)	(350)	(348)	(102)	(856)
LANETTE SIRAGUSA	Approve	75%	69%	69%	82%	67%	79%	76%	74%	69%	74%	78%	73%	76%
	Disapprove	25%	31%	31%	18%	33%	21%	24%	26%	31%	26%	22%	27%	24%
DR. JOSS REIMER	Approve	74%	63%	70%	81%	69%	76%	75%	73%	64%	73%	80%	71%	74%
	Disapprove	26%	37%	30%	19%	31%	24%	25%	27%	36%	27%	20%	29%	26%
DR. BRENT ROUSSIN	Approve	67%	58%	64%	71%	59%	70%	67%	65%	57%	66%	70%	64%	67%
	Disapprove	33%	42%	36%	29%	41%	30%	33%	35%	43%	34%	30%	36%	33%
HEATHER STEFANSON	Approve	45%	51%	45%	42%	40%	47%	43%	47%	46%	41%	44%	48%	44%
	Disapprove	55%	49%	55%	58%	60%	53%	57%	53%	54%	59%	56%	52%	56%
BRIAN PALLISTER	Approve	29%	35%	32%	24%	29%	29%	29%	29%	31%	28%	30%	31%	28%
	Disapprove	71%	65%	68%	76%	71%	71%	71%	71%	69%	72%	70%	69%	72%

SECTION ES 3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic? ** SUMMARY TABLE **

				PROV	VOTE INTER	NTION		VC	DTED LAST PI	ROV ELECTIC	DN .	PALLISTER		
		TOTAL	NDP	PC	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Approve	Disapprove	
BASE		(1000)	(373)	(225)	(108)	(38)	(129)	(366)	(275)	(114)	(50)	(286)	(714)	
LANETTE SIRAGUSA	Approve	75%	79%	75%	85%	71%	79%	69%	81%	81%	77%	92%	68%	
	Disapprove	25%	21%	25%	15%	29%	21%	31%	19%	19%	23%	8%	32%	
DR. JOSS REIMER	Approve	74%	77%	76%	86%	75%	78%	70%	77%	79%	81%	93%	66%	
	Disapprove	26%	23%	24%	14%	25%	22%	30%	23%	21%	19%	7%	34%	
DR. BRENT ROUSSIN	Approve	67%	65%	74%	80%	66%	69%	65%	65%	74%	73%	95%	55%	
	Disapprove	33%	35%	26%	20%	34%	31%	35%	35%	26%	27%	5%	45%	
HEATHER STEFANSON	Approve	45%	30%	64%	46%	49%	57%	51%	30%	45%	36%	83%	30%	
	Disapprove	55%	70%	36%	54%	51%	43%	49%	70%	55%	64%	17%	70%	
BRIAN PALLISTER	Approve	29%	8%	63%	28%	26%	36%	43%	9%	23%	20%	100%		
	Disapprove	71%	92%	37%	72%	74%	64%	57%	91%	77%	80%		100%	

SECTION ES	
3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic?	

						NNIPEG REG	-			DER		AGE		
	_		TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	
BASE: WINNIPEC	3		(600)	(126)	(108)	(104)	(148)	(111)	(289)	(304)	(187)	(238)	(176)	
LANETTE	Strongly approve	Count	203	53	30	37	53	30	98	104	60	72	7:	
SIRAGUSA			34%	42%	28%	35%	36%	27%	34%	34%	32%	30%	41%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	270	46	50	48	65	59	127	141	78	114	7	
			45%	36%	46%	46%	44%	53%	44%	47%	42%	48%	449	
	Somewhat	Count	90	18	18	14	23	17	44	43	38	32	2	
	disapprove		15%	14%	16%	13%	15%	15%	15%	14%	20%	14%	119	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	37	9	11	5	7	5	20	16	10	20		
			6%	7%	10%	5%	5%	5%	7%	5%	6%	8%	49	
DR. JOSS	Strongly approve	Count	190	44	35	28	53	29	91	97	56	66	6	
REIMER			32%	35%	32%	27%	36%	26%	31%	32%	30%	28%	389	
	Somewhat approve	Count	280	57	48	55	67	51	133	144	83	118	7	
			47%	45%	44%	53%	45%	46%	46%	47%	44%	50%	45%	
	Somewhat	Count	90	17	17	15	19	23	40	49	39	31	2	
	disapprove		15%	13%	16%	14%	13%	21%	14%	16%	21%	13%	129	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	40	8	9	6	8	8	25	14	8	23		
			7%	6%	8%	6%	6%	7%	9%	5%	5%	10%	5	
DR. BRENT	Strongly approve	Count	202	52	39	37	41	33	96	106	52	73	7	
ROUSSIN			34%	41%	36%	36%	28%	30%	33%	35%	28%	31%	449	
	Somewhat approve	Count	227	40	36	38	64	48	110	115	66	93	6	
			38%	32%	33%	37%	43%	43%	38%	38%	35%	39%	399	
	Somewhat	Count	112	22	21	18	31	20	50	59	48	43	2	
	disapprove		19%	17%	19%	18%	21%	18%	17%	19%	26%	18%	129	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	59	12	13	11	13	10	34	24	20	28	1	
			10%	9%	12%	10%	9%	9%	12%	8%	11%	12%	69	
HEATHER STEFANSON	Strongly approve	Count	46	10	7	6	15	8	28	18	17	14	1	
STEFANSON	0	0	8%	8%	6%	6%	10%	7%	10%	6%	9%	6%	99	
	Somewhat approve	Count	203	42	35	41	50	34	90	113	66	73	6	
	Somewhat	Count	34%	33% 35	32% 39	40%	33%	31%	31%	37%	36%	31% 70	369	
	disapprove	Count	28%	28%	39	29 28%	37 25%	31 28%	81 28%	86 28%	45 24%	29%	5	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	180								24% 58		329	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	30%	39 31%	28 26%	28 27%	47	37 34%	90 31%	86 28%	58 31%	81 34%	4 230	
BRIAN	Strongly approve	Count		-			-	-	-	28%	-	34%	-	
PALLISTER		Count	36	6 5%	5%	4%	15 10%	6 5%	26 9%	3%	10 5%	5%	1	
FALLISTER	Somewhat approve	Count	114	27	21	4%	25	5%	<u>9%</u> 60	<u>3%</u> 54	34	45	3	
			114	21%	19%	22%	17%	18	21%	18%	18%	45	209	
	Somewhat	Count	119%	21%	29		21	20	58	-	32	45	-	
	disapprove		20%	29	29	21 20%	14%	18%	20%	61 20%	17%	45	4 249	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	331	64	53	20%	89	68	20%	180	11%	137	24%	
l I		Count	55%	51%	49%	57	60%	61%	50%	59%	59%	57%	489	

SECTION ES
3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic?

					EDUCATION			N <16 AT ME	RESI	DENCE	HOUSEHOLD INCOME			INDIGENOUS	
			TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE: WINNIPE	G		(600)	(77)	(197)	(310)	(152)	(443)	(416)	(152)	(94)	(200)	(222)	(56)	(517)
LANETTE	Strongly approve	Count	203	20	63	116	45	157	150	42	23	64	93	11	185
SIRAGUSA			34%	26%	32%	38%	29%	35%	36%	27%	24%	32%	42%	19%	36%
	Somewhat approve	Count	270	43	85	132	59	210	181	73	47	93	85	31	227
			45%	56%	43%	43%	39%	47%	44%	48%	49%	47%	38%	55%	44%
	Somewhat	Count	90	9	37	41	36	53	59	29	15	33	34	9	74
	disapprove		15%	11%	19%	13%	24%	12%	14%	19%	16%	17%	15%	17%	14%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	37	5	12	20	13	24	25	9	10	9	11	6	31
			6%	7%	6%	7%	8%	5%	6%	6%	11%	5%	5%	10%	6%
DR. JOSS	Strongly approve	Count	190	23	56	105	49	139	146	32	15	61	91	8	173
REIMER			32%	30%	28%	34%	32%	31%	35%	21%	16%	31%	41%	15%	33%
	Somewhat approve	Count	280	36	92	145	68	210	181	83	52	95	90	29	239
			47%	47%	47%	47%	45%	47%	44%	54%	55%	48%	41%	51%	46%
	Somewhat	Count	90	14	36	36	23	67	60	29	21	33	27	12	72
	disapprove		15%	18%	18%	12%	15%	15%	15%	19%	23%	16%	12%	22%	14%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	40	4	13	23	12	28	28	8	6	11	13	7	33
			7%	5%	7%	7%	8%	6%	7%	6%	6%	5%	6%	13%	6%
DR. BRENT	Strongly approve	Count	202	25	70	98	41	160	141	49	26	58	86	11	182
ROUSSIN			34%	32%	35%	32%	27%	36%	34%	32%	28%	29%	39%	20%	35%
	Somewhat approve	Count	227	31	74	117	56	170	161	53	32	88	75	23	193
			38%	40%	37%	38%	37%	38%	39%	35%	34%	44%	34%	42%	37%
	Somewhat	Count	112	15	34	61	36	74	76	34	27	33	40	13	94
	disapprove		19%	20%	17%	20%	24%	17%	18%	22%	28%	16%	18%	23%	18%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	59	6	20	33	19	39	38	17	9	21	21	9	48
			10%	7%	10%	11%	13%	9%	9%	11%	9%	10%	9%	15%	9%
HEATHER	Strongly approve	Count	46	9	20	18	5	41	33	10	9	10	23	3	37
STEFANSON			8%	11%	10%	6%	3%	9%	8%	7%	10%	5%	10%	6%	7%
	Somewhat approve	Count	203	31	66	97	51	151	128	60	35	62	62	22	174
			34%	41%	33%	31%	34%	34%	31%	39%	38%	31%	28%	39%	34%
	Somewhat	Count	170	27	60	79	44	126	118	48	36	66	55	15	147
	disapprove		28%	35%	30%	25%	29%	28%	28%	31%	38%	33%	25%	26%	28%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	180	10	52	115	52	125	137	35	14	61	83	16	159
			30%	13%	26%	37%	34%	28%	33%	23%	15%	31%	37%	29%	31%
BRIAN	Strongly approve	Count	36	9	13	12	5	30	25	8	6	9	16	4	28
PALLISTER			6%	12%	7%	4%	3%	7%	6%	5%	6%	5%	7%	7%	5%
	Somewhat approve	Count	114	19	41	52	39	75	80	30	22	37	39	13	98
			19%	25%	21%	17%	26%	17%	19%	19%	23%	18%	17%	23%	19%
	Somewhat	Count	119	17	41	53	35	83	86	26	19	36	43	4	103
	disapprove		20%	23%	21%	17%	23%	19%	21%	17%	20%	18%	20%	7%	20%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	331	31	101	193	73	255	225	89	48	117	124	36	287
			55%	40%	51%	62%	48%	58%	54%	59%	51%	59%	56%	63%	56%

SECTION ES
3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic?

						VOTE INTER					ROV ELECTION			
-	-		TOTAL	NDP	PC	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Approve	Disapprove
BASE: WINNIPE	3	((600)	(269)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(73)	(172)	(212)	(79)	(32)	(150)	(450)
LANETTE	Strongly approve	Count	203	81	52	30	5	29	73	65	24	9	75	128
SIRAGUSA			34%	30%	49%	39%	23%	40%	42%	30%	30%	28%	50%	28%
	Somewhat approve	Count	270	131	35	31	11	37	60	107	35	16	64	206
			45%	49%	33%	41%	48%	51%	35%	50%	44%	51%	42%	46%
	Somewhat	Count	90	43	15	10	5	6	26	27	16	5	10	80
	disapprove		15%	16%	14%	13%	21%	8%	15%	13%	21%	15%	7%	18%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	37	15	4	6	2		14	13	3	2	1	3
			6%	5%	4%	7%	9%		8%	6%	4%	6%	1%	8%
DR. JOSS	Strongly approve	Count	190	76	45	30	7	25	68	56	29	12	75	11
REIMER			32%	28%	42%	39%	30%	35%	39%	27%	37%	36%	50%	26%
	Somewhat approve	Count	280	132	43	33	11	38	65	110	32	13	67	21:
			47%	49%	40%	44%	48%	53%	38%	52%	40%	41%	45%	47%
	Somewhat	Count	90	46	14	8	3	8	23	34	14	5	7	83
	disapprove		15%	17%	14%	11%	14%	11%	13%	16%	18%	17%	5%	18%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	40	16	4	5	2	1	17	12	4	2	1	3
			7%	6%	4%	6%	9%	2%	10%	6%	5%	6%	1%	9%
DR. BRENT	Strongly approve	Count	202	72	52	30	7	30	79	54	28	9	86	110
ROUSSIN			34%	27%	49%	40%	28%	42%	46%	26%	35%	28%	58%	26%
	Somewhat approve	Count	227	107	36	30	10	27	54	82	27	17	60	167
			38%	40%	33%	40%	43%	38%	32%	39%	34%	52%	40%	37%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	112	66	13	8	5	11	18	57	13	5	4	108
			19%	24%	12%	10%	20%	15%	11%	27%	16%	14%	2%	24%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	59	25	5	8	2	4	20	19	11	2		59
HEATHER	Ctrongly opprove	Count	10%	9%	5%	11%	9%	6%	12%	9%	14%	6%		13%
STEFANSON	Strongly approve	Count	46	7	22 21%	9 12%	3 13%	5	25 15%	5 2%	4	3 10%	33 22%	14
	Somowhat approva	Count	203	<u>3%</u> 67	49	22	13%	35	59	<u>2%</u> 57	29	10%	89	39
	Somewhat approve	Count	203	25%	49	22	34%	49%	34%	27%	37%	26%	59%	25%
	Somewhat	Count	170	72	26	29%	9	49%	50	54	26	14	24	146
	disapprove	Count	28%	27%	25%	34%	38%	29%	29%	25%	33%	42%	16%	32%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	180	124	9	19	4	11	38	97	20	42.70	4	170
			30%	46%	8%	25%	15%	16%	22%	46%	25%	22%	3%	39%
BRIAN	Strongly approve	Count	36	4070	22	3	1370	5	22 /0	4070	2370	22.70	36	
PALLISTER			6%	0%	21%	4%		7%	13%		3%		24%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	114	15	44	14	4	26	49	15	16	6	114	
			19%	6%	41%	19%	18%	36%	29%	7%	21%	19%	76%	
	Somewhat	Count	119	44	31	13/1	5	14	49	33	12	4		119
	disapprove		20%	16%	29%	17%	21%	19%	28%	16%	16%	13%		26%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	331	209	9	46	14	27	52	164	48	22		33
			55%	78%	9%	60%	62%	37%	30%	77%	61%	68%		74%

SECTION ES 3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic? ** SUMMARY TABLE **

				WI	NNIPEG REG	ON	_	GEN	DER	AGE			
		TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	
BASE: WINNIPEG		(600)	(126)	(108)	(104)	(148)	(111)	(289)	(304)	(187)	(238)	(176)	
LANETTE SIRAGUSA	Approve	79%	79%	74%	82%	80%	80%	78%	81%	74%	78%	85%	
	Disapprove	21%	21%	26%	18%	20%	20%	22%	19%	26%	22%	15%	
DR. JOSS REIMER	Approve	78%	81%	76%	80%	81%	72%	78%	79%	75%	78%	83%	
	Disapprove	22%	19%	24%	20%	19%	28%	22%	21%	25%	22%	17%	
DR. BRENT ROUSSIN	Approve	72%	73%	69%	72%	70%	73%	71%	73%	63%	70%	82%	
	Disapprove	28%	27%	31%	28%	30%	27%	29%	27%	37%	30%	18%	
HEATHER STEFANSON	Approve	42%	41%	38%	46%	44%	38%	41%	43%	45%	37%	45%	
	Disapprove	58%	59%	62%	54%	56%	62%	59%	57%	55%	63%	55%	
BRIAN PALLISTER	Approve	25%	26%	24%	25%	26%	21%	30%	21%	23%	23%	29%	
	Disapprove	75%	74%	76%	75%	74%	79%	70%	79%	77%	77%	71%	

SECTION ES
3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic?
** SUMMARY TABLE **

			EDUCATION			CHILDREN <16 AT HOME		RESIDENCE		HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	INDIGENOUS		
		TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE: WINNIPEG		(600)	(77)	(197)	(310)	(152)	(443)	(416)	(152)	(94)	(200)	(222)	(56)	(517)
LANETTE SIRAGUSA	Approve	79%	82%	75%	80%	68%	83%	80%	75%	74%	79%	80%	74%	80%
	Disapprove	21%	18%	25%	20%	32%	17%	20%	25%	26%	21%	20%	26%	20%
DR. JOSS REIMER	Approve	78%	77%	75%	81%	77%	79%	79%	75%	71%	78%	82%	65%	80%
	Disapprove	22%	23%	25%	19%	23%	21%	21%	25%	29%	22%	18%	35%	20%
DR. BRENT ROUSSIN	Approve	72%	73%	73%	70%	64%	75%	73%	67%	62%	73%	73%	61%	73%
	Disapprove	28%	27%	27%	30%	36%	25%	27%	33%	38%	27%	27%	39%	27%
HEATHER STEFANSON	Approve	42%	52%	44%	37%	37%	43%	39%	46%	47%	36%	38%	45%	41%
	Disapprove	58%	48%	56%	63%	63%	57%	61%	54%	53%	64%	62%	55%	59%
BRIAN PALLISTER	Approve	25%	37%	28%	21%	29%	24%	25%	24%	29%	23%	25%	29%	24%
	Disapprove	75%	63%	72%	79%	71%	76%	75%	76%	71%	77%	75%	71%	76%

SECTION ES
3b. How would you rate the performance of each of the following public officials during the pandemic?
** SUMMARY TABLE **

				PROV	VOTE INTER	ITION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTIO	NC	PALLISTER	
		TOTAL	NDP	PC	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Approve	Disapprove
BASE: WINNIPEG		(600)	(269)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(73)	(172)	(212)	(79)	(32)	(150)	(450)
LANETTE SIRAGUSA	Approve	79%	79%	82%	79%	71%	92%	77%	81%	75%	79%	93%	74%
	Disapprove	21%	21%	18%	21%	29%	8%	23%	19%	25%	21%	7%	26%
DR. JOSS REIMER	Approve	78%	77%	82%	83%	78%	87%	77%	78%	78%	77%	95%	73%
	Disapprove	22%	23%	18%	17%	22%	13%	23%	22%	22%	23%	5%	27%
DR. BRENT ROUSSIN	Approve	72%	66%	83%	79%	71%	79%	77%	64%	70%	80%	98%	63%
	Disapprove	28%	34%	17%	21%	29%	21%	23%	36%	30%	20%	2%	37%
HEATHER STEFANSON	Approve	42%	27%	67%	41%	47%	56%	49%	29%	42%	36%	81%	28%
	Disapprove	58%	73%	33%	59%	53%	44%	51%	71%	58%	64%	19%	72%
BRIAN PALLISTER	Approve	25%	6%	62%	23%	18%	43%	42%	7%	23%	19%	100%	
	Disapprove	75%	94%	38%	77%	82%	57%	58%	93%	77%	81%		100%

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

				PROVINCI	AL REGION	GEN	DER		AGE			MALES		FEMALES		
			TOTAL	Winnipeg	Rural	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE	Unweighted		(1000)	(600)	(400)	(491)	(504)	(218)	(415)	(367)	(106)	(188)	(197)	(109)	(225)	(170)
			(1000)	(600)	(400)	(487)	(506)	(332)	(368)	(300)	(166)	(187)	(135)	(162)	(179)	(165)
REGION	(NET) Winnipeg	Cases	600	600		289	304	187	238	176	91	121	78	92	114	98
			60%	100%		59%	60%	56%	65%	59%	55%	65%	58%	57%	64%	59%
	Northwest	Cases	126	126		55	71	46	36	44	15	21	19	31	15	25
			13%	21%		11%	14%	14%	10%	15%	9%	11%	14%	19%	8%	15%
	Core	Cases	111	111		54	51	35	51	25	19	27	9	14	21	16
			11%	18%		11%	10%	11%	14%	8%	11%	14%	7%	9%	12%	9%
	Southwest	Cases	148	148		75	73	46	68	34	30	31	14	16	37	20
			15%	25%		15%	15%	14%	18%	11%	18%	17%	10%	10%	21%	12%
	Southeast	Cases	104	104		48	55	26	43	36	8	20	20	18	22	16
			10%	17%		10%	11%	8%	12%	12%	5%	11%	15%	11%	13%	9%
	Northeast	Cases	108	108		55	52	32	39	37	18	22	15	13	17	21
			11%	18%		11%	10%	10%	11%	12%	11%	12%	11%	8%	10%	13%
	Winnipeg	Cases	3	3		2	1	2	1		2				1	
	Unknown		0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%		1%				1%	
	Rural	Cases	400		400	198	202	146	131	124	75	66	57	70	65	67
			40%		100%	41%	40%	44%	35%	41%	45%	35%	42%	43%	36%	41%
GENDER	Male	Count	487	289	198	487		166	187	135	166	187	135			
			49%	48%	49%	100%		50%	51%	45%	100%	100%	100%			
	Female	Count	506	304	202		506	162	179	165				162	179	165
			51%	51%	51%		100%	49%	49%	55%				100%	100%	100%
	Other	Count	7	7				4	3							
			1%	1%				1%	1%							

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE		RESID	ENCE	HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	DME	INDIGE	NOUS
			TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE	Unweighted		(1000)	(155)	(363)	(460)	(300)	(692)	(765)	(192)	(154)	(335)	(369)	(100)	(860)
			(1000)	(168)	(366)	(442)	(305)	(689)	(738)	(208)	(168)	(350)	(348)	(102)	(856)
REGION	(NET) Winnipeg	Cases	600	77	197	310	152	443	416	152	94	200	222	56	517
			60%	46%	54%	70%	50%	64%	56%	73%	56%	57%	64%	55%	60%
	Northwest	Cases	126	20	50	52	30	95	90	28	13	51	41	11	108
			13%	12%	14%	12%	10%	14%	12%	13%	8%	14%	12%	11%	13%
	Core	Cases	111	16	35	53	18	92	66	43	34	37	33	7	100
			11%	9%	10%	12%	6%	13%	9%	21%	20%	10%	10%	6%	12%
	Southwest	Cases	148	11	40	97	37	111	105	36	16	45	63	10	130
			15%	7%	11%	22%	12%	16%	14%	17%	10%	13%	18%	10%	15%
	Southeast	Cases	104	11	31	59	28	74	76	19	13	25	46	12	89
			10%	7%	8%	13%	9%	11%	10%	9%	8%	7%	13%	12%	10%
	Northeast	Cases	108	16	42	47	38	70	78	25	16	41	38	16	89
			11%	10%	12%	11%	12%	10%	11%	12%	10%	12%	11%	16%	10%
	Winnipeg	Cases	3	2		1	1	2	1	2	2	1			1
	Unknown		0%	1%		0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%			0%
	Rural	Cases	400	91	169	132	152	246	322	56	74	151	126	46	339
			40%	54%	46%	30%	50%	36%	44%	27%	44%	43%	36%	45%	40%
GENDER	Male	Count	487	95	182	199	151	331	361	94	76	177	174	48	409
			49%	56%	50%	45%	50%	48%	49%	45%	45%	51%	50%	47%	48%
	Female	Count	506	70	181	241	149	355	372	111	89	172	172	54	440
			51%	42%	49%	55%	49%	52%	50%	54%	53%	49%	50%	53%	51%
	Other	Count	7	3	3	1	4	3	4	3	4	1	1		7
			1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%		1%

SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

					WI	NNIPEG REGI	ON		GEN	DER			
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG	Unweighted		(600)	(100)	(100)	(120)	(191)	(87)	(294)	(301)	(132)	(237)	(231)
			(600)	(126)	(108)	(104)	(148)	(111)	(289)	(304)	(187)	(238)	(176)
REGION	(NET) Winnipeg	Cases	600	126	108	104	148	111	289	304	187	238	176
			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Northwest	Cases	126	126					55	71	46	36	44
			21%	100%					19%	23%	25%	15%	25%
	Core	Cases	111					111	54	51	35	51	25
			18%					100%	19%	17%	19%	21%	14%
	Southwest	Cases	148				148		75	73	46	68	34
			25%				100%		26%	24%	25%	29%	20%
	Southeast	Cases	104			104			48	55	26	43	36
			17%			100%			17%	18%	14%	18%	20%
	Northeast	Cases	108		108				55	52	32	39	37
			18%		100%				19%	17%	17%	17%	21%
	Winnipeg	Cases	3						2	1	2	1	
	Unknown		0%						1%	0%	1%	0%	
GENDER	Male	Count	289	55	55	48	75	54	289		91	121	78
			48%	44%	51%	47%	51%	49%	100%		49%	51%	44%
	Female	Count	304	71	52	55	73	51		304	92	114	98
			51%	56%	48%	53%	49%	46%		100%	49%	48%	56%
	Other	Count	7		1			5			4	3	
			1%		1%			5%			2%	1%	
SECTION DS Demographics - Region, Gender

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HC	EN <16 AT ME	RESIDENCE		HOU	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS
			TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE: WINNIPEG	Unweighted		(600)	(74)	(189)	(323)	(144)	(451)	(436)	(138)	(85)	(197)	(227)	(55)	(520)
			(600)	(77)	(197)	(310)	(152)	(443)	(416)	(152)	(94)	(200)	(222)	(56)	(517)
REGION	(NET) Winnipeg	Cases	600	77	197	310	152	443	416	152	94	200	222	56	517
			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Northwest	Cases	126	20	50	52	30	95	90	28	13	51	41	11	108
Core			21%	27%	25%	17%	20%	21%	22%	18%	14%	25%	19%	20%	21%
	Cases	111	16	35	53	18	92	66	43	34	37	33	7	100	
			18%	21%	18%	17%	12%	21%	16%	28%	36%	18%	15%	12%	19%
	Southwest	Cases	148	11	40	97	37	111	105	36	16	45	63	10	130
			25%	15%	20%	31%	24%	25%	25%	24%	17%	23%	28%	18%	25%
	Southeast	Cases	104	11	31	59	28	74	76	19	13	25	46	12	89
			17%	15%	15%	19%	19%	17%	18%	13%	14%	12%	21%	22%	17%
	Northeast	Cases	108	16	42	47	38	70	78	25	16	41	38	16	89
			18%	21%	21%	15%	25%	16%	19%	16%	17%	21%	17%	28%	17%
	Winnipeg	Cases	3	2		1	1	2	1	2	2	1			1
	Unknown		0%	2%		0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%			0%
GENDER	Male	Count	289	43	91	147	74	211	199	70	42	102	111	26	245
			48%	55%	46%	48%	49%	48%	48%	46%	44%	51%	50%	46%	47%
	Female	Count	304	32	103	161	74	230	212	80	49	97	110	30	266
			51%	41%	52%	52%	49%	52%	51%	53%	51%	48%	50%	54%	51%
	Other	Count	7	3	3	1	4	3	4	3	4	1	1		7
			1%	4%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%		1%

SECTION DS
Demographics - Education, Children At Home, Residence Ownership

					WI	NNIPEG REG	ON		GEN	DER			
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(600)	(126)	(108)	(104)	(148)	(111)	(289)	(304)	(187)	(238)	(176)
EDUCATION	Grade school/ Some	Count	18	6	5	1	1	4	11	7	7	6	5
	high school		3%	5%	5%	1%	1%	4%	4%	2%	4%	2%	3%
	Completed high school	Count	59	14	11	10	10	11	31	25	22	12	24
			10%	11%	10%	10%	7%	10%	11%	8%	12%	5%	14%
	Some apprenticeship/ trades training Journey-Person certificate Some community	Count	16	5	5	1	4	2	12	4	10	5	1
			3%	4%	4%	1%	3%	2%	4%	1%	5%	2%	0%
		Count	16	2	4	1	3	6	13	3	4	9	2
			3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	5%	5%	1%	2%	4%	1%
		Count	17	4	8	1	2	1	6	11	1	9	7
	college		3%	3%	8%	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	4%	4%
	Completed community	Count	89	26	19	15	16	12	33	56	17	35	37
	college diploma		15%	21%	17%	14%	11%	11%	11%	18%	9%	15%	21%
	Some university	Count	60	12	6	14	14	13	27	30	31	17	12
			10%	10%	6%	13%	10%	12%	9%	10%	17%	7%	7%
	undergrad	Count	154	31	27	27	43	26	78	76	51	61	42
			26%	24%	25%	26%	29%	24%	27%	25%	28%	25%	24%
	Completed grad/	Count	156	21	21	31	55	27	70	85	36	78	42
	professional degree		26%	17%	19%	30%	37%	25%	24%	28%	20%	33%	24%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	16	4	2	4		7	8	8	5	6	4
			3%	3%	2%	3%		6%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
CHILDREN	Yes	Count	152	30	38	28	37	18	74	74	40	108	5
UNDER 16 AT			25%	24%	35%	27%	25%	17%	26%	24%	21%	45%	3%
HOME	No	Count	443	95	70	74	111	92	211	230	147	128	169
			74%	75%	65%	71%	75%	83%	73%	76%	79%	54%	96%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	4	1	1	2	1		4			2	2
			1%	1%	1%	2%	1%		1%			1%	1%
CURRENT	Own	Count	416	90	78	76	105	66	199	212	82	192	141
RESIDENCE			69%	71%	72%	74%	70%	60%	69%	70%	44%	81%	80%
Re	Rent	Count	152	28	25	19	36	43	70	80	79	42	31
			25%	22%	23%	18%	24%	39%	24%	26%	43%	18%	18%
	Other	Count	3				3		3		3		
			0%				2%		1%		1%		
	(Refused/NS)	Count	29	8	5	8	5	2	18	11	22	3	4
	(11010000,110)		5%	7%	5%	8%	3%	2%	6%	4%	12%	1%	2%

SECTION DS	
Demographics - Education, Children At Home, Residence Ownership	

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		RESID	DENCE	HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGI	ENOUS
			TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE: WINNIPEC	G		(600)	(77)	(197)	(310)	(152)	(443)	(416)	(152)	(94)	(200)	(222)	(56)	(517)
EDUCATION	Grade school/ Some	Count	18	18			2	16	12	4	5	9	2	5	13
	high school		3%	24%			1%	4%	3%	3%	6%	5%	1%	10%	2%
	Completed high school	Count	59	59			6	53	35	19	17	21	11	4	50
			10%	76%			4%	12%	9%	12%	18%	11%	5%	7%	10%
	Some apprenticeship/	Count	16		16		4	12	6	7	3	5	7	1	12
trades training		3%		8%		3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	
	Journey-Person	Count	16		16		7	9	12	4	2	5	7	1	15
	certificate		3%		8%		5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%
	Some community	Count	17		17		5	11	14	2	4	5	2	3	14
	college		3%		8%		4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%	5%	3%
	Completed community	Count	89		89		21	66	67	21	16	28	34	9	76
	college diploma		15%		45%		14%	15%	16%	14%	17%	14%	15%	17%	15%
	Some university	Count	60		60		14	46	34	20	12	19	17	7	50
	Completed university C		10%		30%		9%	10%	8%	13%	12%	9%	8%	13%	10%
l l	Completed university C undergrad	Count	154			154	40	113	109	39	17	60	63	13	137
	undergrad		26%			50%	26%	26%	26%	26%	19%	30%	28%	23%	27%
	Completed grad/	Count	156			156	48	107	121	31	15	44	78	11	140
	professional degree		26%			50%	31%	24%	29%	20%	16%	22%	35%	20%	27%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	16				5	10	6	5	3	3	1	1	10
			3%				3%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	0%	1%	2%
CHILDREN	Yes	Count	152	8	52	87	152		129	21	20	40	78	17	128
UNDER 16 AT			25%	10%	27%	28%	100%		31%	14%	22%	20%	35%	30%	25%
HOME	No	Count	443	69	143	220		443	284	131	74	160	143	38	386
			74%	90%	73%	71%		100%	68%	86%	78%	80%	64%	67%	75%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	4		2	2			3				2	2	3
			1%		1%	1%			1%				1%	3%	0%
CURRENT	Own	Count	416	48	133	230	129	284	416		26	142	190	32	372
RESIDENCE			69%	62%	67%	74%	85%	64%	100%		28%	71%	86%	57%	72%
	Rent	Count	152	23	54	71	21	131		152	67	56	23	16	127
1			25%	30%	27%	23%	14%	30%		100%	71%	28%	10%	29%	25%
	Other	Count	3		2	1		3				1	2		2
			0%		1%	0%		1%				0%	1%		0%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	29	6	9	9	2	25			2	1	6	8	16
1			5%	8%	5%	3%	2%	6%			2%	0%	3%	14%	3%

SECTION DS
Demographics - Education, Children At Home, Residence Ownership

						VOTE INTE				TED LAST P	PALLISTER			
			TOTAL	NDP	PC	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Approve	Disapprove
BASE: WINNIPEG	3		(600)	(269)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(73)	(172)	(212)	(79)	(32)	(150)	(450)
EDUCATION	Grade school/ Some	Count	18	4	8	1	1	4	9	3	1	1	6	12
	high school		3%	1%	7%	1%	4%	5%	5%	1%	1%	3%	4%	3%
	Completed high school	Count	59	20	10	5	4	15	17	18	5	5	22	36
			10%	8%	10%	7%	18%	20%	10%	8%	6%	17%	15%	8%
	Some apprenticeship/	Count	16	4	3	1	1	4	7	2	2	2	6	11
	trades training		3%	2%	3%	1%	6%	6%	4%	1%	2%	7%	4%	2%
	Journey-Person	Count	16	4	4	2		3	4	4	1		3	13
	certificate		3%	2%	4%	2%		5%	2%	2%	2%		2%	3%
	Some community	Count	17	8	5	3	1		8	4	2	2	3	13
	college		3%	3%	4%	4%	3%		5%	2%	3%	6%	2%	3%
	Completed community	Count	89	39	18	11	3	14	28	30	13	5	27	62
	college diploma		15%	15%	17%	14%	12%	19%	16%	14%	17%	16%	18%	14%
	,	Count	60	24	11	12	2	4	18	18	7	4	16	44
			10%	9%	10%	15%	9%	6%	11%	8%	9%	14%	11%	10%
	undergrad	Count	154	81	27	21	6	11	41	60	23	9	27	127
			26%	30%	25%	27%	24%	15%	24%	28%	30%	27%	18%	28%
	Completed grad/ professional degree	Count	156	78	20	20	6	17	37	66	23	3	37	119
			26%	29%	18%	26%	24%	23%	21%	31%	30%	10%	24%	26%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	16	7	1	1		2	3	6	1		3	13
			3%	3%	1%	1%		2%	2%	3%	1%		2%	3%
CHILDREN	Yes	Count	152	60	28	22	8	19	51	41	22	10	44	108
UNDER 16 AT			25%	22%	26%	29%	33%	26%	29%	20%	27%	32%	29%	24%
HOME	No	Count	443	208	78	54	16	52	119	170	57	22	105	338
			74%	77%	73%	71%	67%	72%	69%	80%	73%	68%	70%	75%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	4	1	1			2	2	1			1	3
			1%	0%	1%			2%	1%	0%			0%	1%
CURRENT	Own	Count	416	187	81	51	17	47	142	148	51	24	105	311
RESIDENCE			69%	70%	76%	67%	71%	64%	83%	70%	65%	75%	70%	69%
	Rent	Count	152	71	26	22	4	17	26	53	25	5	37	115
			25%	26%	24%	29%	18%	23%	15%	25%	32%	14%	25%	26%
	Other	Count	3	1		2					2		1	2
			0%	0%		2%					2%		1%	0%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	29	10		2	2	9	4	12	1	4	7	22
			5%	4%		2%	10%	12%	2%	6%	1%	11%	5%	5%

SECTION DS Demographics - Age, Family Income

					WI	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	DER	AGE			
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +	
BASE: WINNIPEG	3		(600)	(126)	(108)	(104)	(148)	(111)	(289)	(304)	(187)	(238)	(176)	
AGE	18 to 34	Count	187	46	32	26	46	35	91	92	187			
			31%	37%	29%	25%	31%	32%	31%	30%	100%			
	35 to 44	Count	146	19	25	25	39	38	74	69		146		
			24%	15%	23%	24%	26%	34%	26%	23%		62%		
	45 to 54	Count	91	17	15	18	29	13	46	45		91		
			15%	13%	14%	17%	20%	12%	16%	15%		38%		
	55 to 64	Count	86	24	18	14	18	12	30	56				
			14%	19%	16%	13%	12%	11%	10%	19%			49	
	65 and older	Count	89	20	19	22	16	12	48	42				
			15%	16%	18%	21%	11%	11%	16%	14%			51	
HOUSEHOLD	Less than \$30,000	Count	46	4	7	7	8	18	21	22	22	14		
INCOME	\$30,000		8%	3%	7%	6%	5%	17%	7%	7%	12%	6%	6	
	\$30,000 to	Count	49	9	9	7	8	16	21	27	20	20		
	\$49,000		8%	7%	8%	6%	5%	14%	7%	9%	11%	8%	5	
	\$50,000 to	Count	113	25	18	14	31	24	59	53	48	29	:	
	\$79,000		19%	20%	17%	13%	21%	21%	20%	17%	26%	12%	20	
	\$80,000 to	Count	87	26	23	11	14	13	43	44	17	38	:	
	\$99,000		14%	21%	21%	10%	10%	12%	15%	14%	9%	16%	18	
	\$100,000 to	Count	121	24	26	27	26	18	50	69	33	55	:	
	\$149,000		20%	19%	24%	26%	17%	16%	17%	23%	18%	23%	18	
	\$150,000 or	Count	101	17	12	19	38	16	60	41	26	55	2	
	more		17%	14%	11%	18%	25%	14%	21%	13%	14%	23%	12	
	(Refused/NS)	Count	84	21	13	20	24	7	35	49	21	27	3	
			14%	17%	12%	19%	16%	6%	12%	16%	11%	11%	21	

SECTION DS Demographics - Age, Family Income

					EDUCATION	-	CHILDRE HO		RESID	DENCE	HOL	SEHOLD INC	QME	INDIGENOUS	
			TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE: WINNIPE	3		(600)	(77)	(197)	(310)	(152)	(443)	(416)	(152)	(94)	(200)	(222)	(56)	(517)
AGE	18 to 34	Count	187	29	64	88	40	147	82	79	42	65	59	15	158
			31%	38%	33%	28%	26%	33%	20%	52%	44%	32%	27%	27%	31%
	35 to 44	Count	146	10	44	88	82	63	113	31	24	52	57	16	126
			24%	13%	22%	28%	54%	14%	27%	20%	26%	26%	26%	29%	24%
	45 to 54	Count	91	8	31	50	26	65	79	11	10	15	53	11	78
			15%	10%	16%	16%	17%	15%	19%	7%	10%	7%	24%	20%	15%
	55 to 64	Count	86	11	34	40	3	82	72	13	6	28	35	7	74
			14%	14%	17%	13%	2%	19%	17%	8%	6%	14%	16%	13%	14%
	65 and older	Count	89	19	24	43	1	87	69	18	13	39	18	6	81
			15%	25%	12%	14%	1%	20%	17%	12%	14%	20%	8%	11%	16%
HOUSEHOLD	Less than	Count	46	12	19	13	5	40	8	38	46			3	36
INCOME	\$30,000		8%	15%	10%	4%	4%	9%	2%	25%	48%			6%	7%
	\$30,000 to	Count	49	10	18	19	15	34	18	29	49			6	42
	\$49,000		8%	13%	9%	6%	10%	8%	4%	19%	52%			10%	8%
	\$50,000 to	Count	113	16	38	56	14	98	72	40		113		7	100
	\$79,000		19%	21%	19%	18%	9%	22%	17%	26%		56%		12%	19%
	\$80,000 to	Count	87	14	25	48	26	61	70	17		87		11	75
	\$99,000		14%	18%	12%	16%	17%	14%	17%	11%		44%		20%	14%
	\$100,000 to	Count	121	10	45	65	47	72	104	12			121	8	110
	\$149,000		20%	13%	23%	21%	31%	16%	25%	8%			54%	14%	21%
	\$150,000 or	Count	101	3	23	76	31	70	87	11			101	7	92
	more		17%	4%	11%	24%	20%	16%	21%	7%			46%	12%	18%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	84	11	31	32	14	67	58	6				15	62
			14%	15%	16%	10%	9%	15%	14%	4%				26%	12%

SECTION DS Demographics - Age, Family Income

-					PRO\	VOTE INTER	NTION		VC	DTED LAST P	ROV ELECTI	ON	PALLISTER		
			TOTAL	NDP	PC	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Approve	Disapprove	
BASE: WINNIPE	3		(600)	(269)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(73)	(172)	(212)	(79)	(32)	(150)	(450)	
AGE	18 to 34	Count	187	95	27	25	3	23	32	76	25	7	44	143	
			31%	35%	26%	32%	14%	31%	19%	36%	32%	23%	29%	32%	
	35 to 44	Count	146	71	24	13	12	14	37	54	16	15	30	116	
			24%	26%	22%	18%	50%	19%	22%	26%	20%	45%	20%	26%	
	45 to 54	Count	91	32	15	17	4	11	39	21	11	4	26	66	
			15%	12%	14%	23%	16%	15%	22%	10%	14%	11%	17%	15%	
	55 to 64	Count	86	38	16	8	5	15	29	30	11	6	22	65	
			14%	14%	15%	10%	20%	20%	17%	14%	14%	17%	14%	14%	
65 and olde	65 and older	Count	89	34	24	14		10	35	30	15	1	29	60	
			15%	13%	23%	18%		14%	21%	14%	19%	3%	19%	13%	
HOUSEHOLD	Less than	Count	46	17	8	8	1	5	7	15	8	1	13	32	
INCOME	\$30,000		8%	6%	8%	10%	2%	7%	4%	7%	10%	2%	9%	7%	
	\$30,000 to	Count	49	18	13	8	4	4	8	15	5	5	14	35	
	\$49,000		8%	7%	12%	10%	15%	6%	5%	7%	6%	14%	9%	8%	
	\$50,000 to	Count	113	52	21	15	4	15	26	42	13	6	26	87	
	\$79,000		19%	19%	19%	19%	16%	20%	15%	20%	16%	18%	17%	19%	
	\$80,000 to	Count	87	43	12	11	4	10	26	35	10	7	20	67	
	\$99,000		14%	16%	11%	14%	16%	13%	15%	16%	13%	23%	13%	15%	
	\$100,000 to	Count	121	69	17	15	4	13	40	52	14	6	29	91	
	\$149,000		20%	25%	16%	20%	17%	18%	23%	25%	18%	18%	20%	20%	
	\$150,000 or	Count	101	45	26	13	4	6	41	29	20	3	26	76	
	more		17%	17%	25%	17%	16%	9%	24%	14%	25%	10%	17%	17%	
	(Refused/NS)	Count	84	25	10	7	4	20	23	24	10	5	22	62	
			14%	9%	9%	10%	18%	27%	13%	11%	12%	16%	15%	14%	

SECTION DS
Demographics - Indigenous Manitoban, Self & Parents Born In Canada

					WI	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	IDER	AGE		
			TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE: WINNIPEG			(600)	(126)	(108)	(104)	(148)	(111)	(289)	(304)	(187)	(238)	(176)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	Cases	56	11	16	12	10	7	26	30	15	28	14
INDIGENOUS			9%	9%	15%	12%	7%	6%	9%	10%	8%	12%	8%
	First Nations	Cases	16	4	5	2	3	2	9	8	6	9	2
			3%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	1%
	Métis	Cases	37	5	10	10	7	5	17	21	7	19	11
			6%	4%	9%	10%	5%	4%	6%	7%	4%	8%	6%
	Multiple	Cases	2	2	1				1	2	2		1
			0%	1%	1%				0%	1%	1%		0%
	No/ Not indigenous	Cases	517	108	89	89	130	100	245	266	158	204	155
			86%	86%	83%	85%	88%	91%	85%	87%	85%	86%	88%
	(Refused/NS)	Cases	27	7	3	3	8	4	19	8	13	6	7
			4%	6%	2%	3%	6%	3%	6%	3%	7%	3%	4%
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	Count	508	105	97	92	126	88	232	269	150	195	163
			85%	83%	90%	88%	85%	80%	80%	89%	81%	82%	93%
	No	Count	90	20	11	12	22	22	55	35	36	42	12
			15%	16%	10%	12%	15%	20%	19%	11%	19%	18%	7%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	2	1				1	2			1	1
			0%	1%				1%	1%			1%	0%
BASE: BORN IN CANAL	A		(508)	(105)	(97)	(92)	(126)	(88)	(232)	(269)	(150)	(195)	(163)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	Count	403	90	75	73	97	68	173	224	117	159	127
CANADA			79%	86%	77%	79%	77%	77%	75%	83%	78%	82%	78%
	One	Count	65	5	13	13	21	12	35	29	21	22	21
			13%	5%	14%	14%	17%	14%	15%	11%	14%	11%	13%
	Neither	Count	39	9	9	6	6	8	23	16	12	13	14
			8%	9%	9%	7%	5%	10%	10%	6%	8%	7%	8%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	1				1		1			1	1
			0%				1%		1%			0%	0%

SECTION DS Demographics - Indigenous Manitoban, Self & Parents Born In Canada

					EDUCATION		CHILDRE	N <16 AT ME	RESID	DENCE	НО	USEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIG	ENOUS
			TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE: WINNIPEG			(600)	(77)	(197)	(310)	(152)	(443)	(416)	(152)	(94)	(200)	(222)	(56)	(517)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	Cases	56	9	22	24	17	38	32	16	9	18	15	56	
INDIGENOUS			9%	12%	11%	8%	11%	9%	8%	11%	9%	9%	7%	100%	
	First Nations	Cases	16	2	9	5	6	11	4	9	2	6	3	16	
			3%	2%	5%	2%	4%	2%	1%	6%	2%	3%	1%	29%	
	Métis	Cases	37	6	13	19	11	25	28	8	7	12	12	37	
			6%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	7%	5%	7%	6%	5%	66%	
	Multiple	Cases	2	2				2						2	
			0%	2%				0%						4%	
	No/ Not indigenous	Cases	517	63	166	277	128	386	372	127	78	175	202		517
			86%	82%	84%	90%	84%	87%	89%	83%	83%	88%	91%		100%
	(Refused/NS)	Cases	27	4	9	8	7	19	12	9	7	6	5		
			4%	6%	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	6%	8%	3%	2%		
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	Count	508	63	178	259	119	385	368	116	62	164	208	56	434
			85%	82%	90%	84%	78%	87%	88%	76%	66%	82%	94%	100%	84%
	No	Count	90	14	18	50	33	56	47	36	32	34	14		81
			15%	18%	9%	16%	22%	13%	11%	24%	34%	17%	6%		16%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	2		1			2	1			1			1
			0%		1%			1%	0%			1%			0%
BASE: BORN IN CANADA	A		(508)	(63)	(178)	(259)	(119)	(385)	(368)	(116)	(62)	(164)	(208)	(56)	(434)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	Count	403	51	145	199	98	302	295	92	48	132	166	50	340
CANADA			79%	82%	81%	77%	82%	78%	80%	80%	77%	81%	80%	88%	78%
	One	Count	65	10	21	34	10	54	41	16	10	22	23	6	56
			13%	16%	12%	13%	9%	14%	11%	13%	16%	13%	11%	10%	13%
	Neither	Count	39	1	12	24	10	29	30	8	4	9	17	1	38
			8%	2%	7%	9%	8%	7%	8%	7%	7%	6%	8%	1%	9%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	1			1	1	1	1			1	1		
			0%			1%	1%	0%	0%			0%	0%		

SECTION DS
Demographics - Indigenous Manitoban, Self & Parents Born In Canada

					PRO	VOTE INTEN	TION		VC	DTED LAST P	ROV ELECTIO	NC	PALI	ISTER
			TOTAL	NDP	PC	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Approve	Disapprove
BASE: WINNIPEG			(600)	(269)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(73)	(172)	(212)	(79)	(32)	(150)	(450)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	Cases	56	28	6	5	3	10	12	25	8	3	16	40
INDIGENOUS			9%	10%	6%	7%	12%	14%	7%	12%	10%	11%	11%	9%
	First Nations	Cases	16	6	1	2	1	5	3	6	2		5	12
			3%	2%	1%	2%	5%	6%	2%	3%	3%		3%	3%
	Métis	Cases	37	22	5	3	2	3	8	19	5	3	11	26
			6%	8%	5%	4%	7%	4%	5%	9%	7%	11%	7%	6%
	Multiple	Cases	2					2					1	2
			0%					3%					0%	0%
	No/ Not indigenous	Cases	517	236	95	68	20	57	154	180	68	28	126	391
			86%	87%	89%	90%	83%	79%	89%	85%	87%	86%	84%	87%
	(Refused/NS)	Cases	27	6	5	3	1	5	7	7	2	1	7	19
			4%	2%	5%	4%	4%	7%	4%	3%	3%	3%	5%	4%
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	Count	508	243	89	61	22	58	153	195	68	31	110	398
			85%	90%	83%	80%	93%	79%	89%	92%	86%	96%	73%	88%
	No	Count	90	27	18	15	2	15	18	17	11	1	40	50
			15%	10%	17%	20%	7%	21%	11%	8%	14%	4%	27%	11%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	2						1	1				2
			0%						1%	0%				1%
BASE: BORN IN CANAD	A		(508)	(243)	(89)	(61)	(22)	(58)	(153)	(195)	(68)	(31)	(110)	(398)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	Count	403	196	65	50	19	46	118	165	52	25	87	316
CANADA			79%	81%	74%	81%	89%	80%	77%	85%	76%	81%	80%	79%
	One	Count	65	30	10	8	2	9	21	16	10	3	11	54
			13%	12%	12%	13%	7%	16%	14%	8%	15%	11%	10%	13%
	Neither	Count	39	16	12	4	1	2	12	13	6	2	12	27
			8%	7%	14%	6%	4%	4%	8%	7%	9%	8%	10%	7%
	(Refused/NS)	Count	1	1	1				1	1				1
			0%	0%	1%				1%	0%				0%

DEMOGRAPHICS ** (DK/NS) REMOVED **

				WI	NNIPEG REG	ION		GEN	DER		AGE	
		TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE		(584)	(122)	(106)	(100)	(148)	(104)	(281)	(296)	(181)	(231)	(171)
EDUCATION	Grade school/ Some high school	3%	5%	5%	1%	1%	4%	4%	2%	4%	2%	3%
	Completed high school	10%	12%	11%	10%	7%	11%	11%	8%	12%	5%	14%
	Some apprenticeship/ trades training	3%	4%	4%	1%	3%	2%	4%	1%	6%	2%	0%
	Journey-Person certificate	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	6%	5%	1%	2%	4%	1%
	Some community college	3%	3%	8%	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	4%	4%
	Completed community college diploma	15%	21%	18%	15%	11%	12%	12%	19%	10%	15%	21%
	Some university	10%	10%	6%	14%	10%	13%	10%	10%	17%	7%	7%
	Completed university undergrad	26%	25%	25%	27%	29%	25%	28%	26%	28%	26%	25%
	Completed grad/ professional degree	27%	17%	19%	31%	37%	26%	25%	29%	20%	34%	24%
BASE		(596)	(125)	(107)	(102)	(148)	(111)	(285)	(304)	(187)	(236)	(174)
CHILDREN UNDER	Yes	26%	24%	35%	28%	25%	17%	26%	24%	21%	46%	3%
16 AT HOME	No	74%	76%	65%	72%	75%	83%	74%	76%	79%	54%	97%
BASE		(571)	(118)	(103)	(96)	(143)	(109)	(272)	(292)	(165)	(234)	(172)
CURRENT	Own	73%	76%	76%	80%	73%	61%	73%	73%	50%	82%	82%
RESIDENCE	Rent	27%	24%	24%	20%	25%	39%	26%	27%	48%	18%	18%
	Other	0%				2%		1%		2%		
BASE		(516)	(105)	(95)	(84)	(125)	(104)	(254)	(255)	(166)	(211)	(139)
HOUSEHOLD	Less than \$30,000	9%	4%	7%	8%	6%	18%	8%	9%	13%	7%	7%
INCOME	\$30,000 to \$49,000	9%	9%	10%	8%	7%	15%	8%	10%	12%	9%	6%
	\$50,000 to \$79,000	22%	23%	19%	17%	25%	23%	23%	21%	29%	14%	25%
	\$80,000 to \$99,000	17%	25%	24%	13%	11%	12%	17%	17%	10%	18%	23%
	\$100,000 to \$149,000	23%	23%	27%	33%	20%	17%	20%	27%	20%	26%	23%
	\$150,000 or more	20%	16%	13%	22%	30%	15%	24%	16%	16%	26%	15%
BASE		(573)	(119)	(105)	(101)	(140)	(107)	(271)	(296)	(173)	(231)	(169)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	10%	9%	15%	12%	7%	6%	10%	10%	9%	12%	8%
INDIGENOUS	First Nations	3%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	1%
	Métis	6%	4%	9%	10%	5%	4%	6%	7%	4%	8%	6%
	Multiple	0%	1%	1%				0%	1%	1%		0%
	No/ Not indigenous	90%	91%	85%	88%	93%	94%	90%	90%	91%	88%	92%
BASE		(598)	(125)	(108)	(104)	(148)	(110)	(287)	(304)	(187)	(236)	(175)
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	85%	84%	90%	88%	85%	80%	81%	89%	81%	82%	93%
	No	15%	16%	10%	12%	15%	20%	19%	11%	19%	18%	7%
BASE		(507)	(105)	(97)	(92)	(125)	(88)	(231)	(269)	(150)	(194)	(162)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	80%	86%	77%	79%	78%	77%	75%	83%	78%	82%	78%
CANADA	One	13%	5%	14%	14%	17%	14%	15%	11%	14%	11%	13%
	Neither	8%	9%	9%	7%	5%	10%	10%	6%	8%	7%	8%

DEMOGRAPHICS ** (DK/NS) REMOVED **

				EDUCATION			N <16 AT ME	RESID	DENCE	HOL	JSEHOLD INCO	OME	INDIGE	ENOUS
		TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
BASE		(584)	(77)	(197)	(310)	(147)	(433)	(410)	(147)	(91)	(197)	(221)	(55)	(507)
EDUCATION	Grade school/ Some high school	3%	24%			1%	4%	3%	3%	6%	5%	1%	10%	2%
	Completed high school	10%	76%			4%	12%	9%	13%	18%	11%	5%	7%	10%
	Some apprenticeship/ trades training	3%		8%		3%	3%	1%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%
	Journey-Person certificate	3%		8%		5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%
	Some community college	3%		8%		4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	5%	3%
	Completed community college diploma	15%		45%		14%	15%	16%	14%	18%	14%	15%	17%	15%
	Some university	10%		30%		10%	11%	8%	13%	13%	9%	8%	13%	10%
	Completed university undergrad	26%			50%	27%	26%	27%	27%	19%	30%	28%	24%	27%
	Completed grad/ professional degree	27%			50%	32%	25%	29%	21%	17%	22%	35%	20%	28%
BASE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(596)	(77)	(196)	(308)	(152)	(443)	(413)	(152)	(94)	(200)	(220)	(55)	(515)
CHILDREN UNDER	Yes	26%	10%	27%	28%	100%		31%	14%	22%	20%	35%	31%	25%
16 AT HOME	No	74%	90%	73%	72%		100%	69%	86%	78%	80%	65%	69%	75%
BASE	•	(571)	(71)	(188)	(301)	(150)	(418)	(416)	(152)	(93)	(199)	(215)	(48)	(501)
CURRENT	Own	73%	67%	70%	76%	86%	68%	100%		28%	71%	88%	66%	74%
RESIDENCE	Rent	27%	33%	29%	23%	14%	31%		100%	72%	28%	11%	34%	25%
	Other	0%		1%	0%		1%				0%	1%		0%
BASE		(516)	(65)	(166)	(278)	(138)	(376)	(358)	(146)	(94)	(200)	(222)	(42)	(455)
HOUSEHOLD	Less than \$30,000	9%	18%	11%	5%	4%	11%	2%	26%	48%			8%	8%
INCOME	\$30,000 to \$49,000	9%	16%	11%	7%	11%	9%	5%	20%	52%			13%	9%
	\$50,000 to \$79,000	22%	25%	23%	20%	10%	26%	20%	27%		56%		16%	22%
	\$80,000 to \$99,000	17%	22%	15%	17%	19%	16%	20%	12%		44%		28%	16%
	\$100,000 to \$149,000	23%	15%	27%	23%	34%	19%	29%	9%			54%	19%	24%
	\$150,000 or more	20%	4%	14%	27%	22%	19%	24%	7%			46%	16%	20%
BASE		(573)	(72)	(189)	(301)	(145)	(424)	(404)	(143)	(87)	(193)	(216)	(56)	(517)
IDENTIFY AS	(Net) YES	10%	13%	12%	8%	12%	9%	8%	11%	10%	9%	7%	100%	
INDIGENOUS	First Nations	3%	2%	5%	2%	4%	3%	1%	6%	2%	3%	1%	29%	
	Métis	6%	8%	7%	6%	8%	6%	7%	5%	8%	6%	6%	66%	
	Multiple	0%	2%				0%						4%	
	No/ Not indigenous	90%	87%	88%	92%	88%	91%	92%	89%	90%	91%	93%		100%
BASE		(598)	(77)	(196)	(310)	(152)	(441)	(414)	(152)	(94)	(198)	(222)	(56)	(516)
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	85%	82%	91%	84%	78%	87%	89%	76%	66%	83%	94%	100%	84%
	No	15%	18%	9%	16%	22%	13%	11%	24%	34%	17%	6%		16%
BASE		(507)	(63)	(178)	(258)	(118)	(384)	(366)	(116)	(62)	(163)	(207)	(56)	(434)
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	80%	82%	81%	77%	83%	78%	81%	80%	77%	81%	80%	88%	78%
CANADA	One	13%	16%	12%	13%	9%	14%	11%	13%	16%	13%	11%	10%	13%
	Neither	8%	2%	7%	9%	8%	7%	8%	7%	7%	6%	8%	1%	9%

DEMOGRAPHICS ** (DK/NS) REMOVED **

				PROV	VOTE INTE	NTION		VC	DTED LAST P	ROV ELECTI	NC	PALL	ISTER	
		TOTAL	NDP	PC	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Approve	Disap	orove
BASE		(584)	(263)	(105)	(75)	(23)	(71)	(169)	(206)	(78)	(32)	(147)	(437)	
EDUCATION	Grade school/ Some high school	3%	1%	8%	1%	4%	5%	5%	2%	1%	3%	4%		3%
	Completed high school	10%	8%	10%	7%	18%	21%	10%	9%	6%	17%	15%		8%
	Some apprenticeship/ trades training	3%	2%	3%	1%	6%	6%	4%	1%	2%	7%	4%		2%
	Journey-Person certificate	3%	2%	4%	2%		5%	3%	2%	2%		2%		3%
	Some community college	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%		5%	2%	3%	6%	2%		3%
	Completed community college diploma	15%	15%	17%	14%	12%	19%	17%	14%	17%	16%	18%		14%
	Some university	10%	9%	10%	16%	9%	6%	11%	9%	9%	14%	11%		10%
	Completed university undergrad	26%	31%	25%	28%	24%	15%	24%	29%	30%	27%	19%		29%
	Completed grad/ professional degree	27%	30%	19%	27%	24%	23%	22%	32%	30%	10%	25%		27%
BASE		(596)	(268)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(71)	(170)	(211)	(79)	(32)	(149)	(446)	
CHILDREN UNDER	Yes	26%	22%	26%	29%	33%	26%	30%	20%	27%	32%	29%		24%
16 AT HOME	No	74%	78%	74%	71%	67%	74%	70%	80%	73%	68%	71%		76%
BASE		(571)	(259)	(106)	(75)	(21)	(64)	(168)	(200)	(78)	(29)	(143)	(428)	
CURRENT	Own	73%	72%	76%	68%	79%	73%	85%	74%	66%	84%	73%		73%
RESIDENCE	Rent	27%	27%	24%	29%	21%	27%	15%	26%	32%	16%	26%		27%
	Other	0%	0%		3%					2%		1%		0%
BASE		(516)	(244)	(97)	(69)	(19)	(53)	(149)	(188)	(69)	(27)	(128)	(388)	
HOUSEHOLD	Less than \$30,000	9%	7%	8%	11%	3%	10%	5%	8%	11%	2%	11%		8%
INCOME	\$30,000 to \$49,000	9%	7%	13%	11%	18%	8%	5%	8%	7%	17%	11%		9%
	\$50,000 to \$79,000	22%	21%	21%	21%	20%	28%	18%	22%	18%	22%	20%		22%
	\$80,000 to \$99,000	17%	18%	12%	16%	19%	18%	18%	19%	15%	27%	16%		17%
	\$100,000 to \$149,000	23%	28%	18%	22%	21%	25%	27%	28%	20%	21%	23%		24%
	\$150,000 or more	20%	19%	27%	19%	19%	12%	27%	15%	29%	11%	20%		19%
BASE		(573)	(263)	(101)	(73)	(22)	(67)	(166)	(205)	(76)	(31)	(143)	(430)	
IDENTIFY AS INDIGENOUS	(Net) YES	10%	10%	6%	7%	13%	15%	7%	12%	10%	11%	12%		9%
INDIGENOUS	First Nations	3%	2%	1%	2%	6%	7%	2%	3%	3%		3%		3%
	Métis	6%	8%	5%	5%	7%	4%	5%	9%	7%	11%	8%		6%
	Multiple	0%					4%					0%		0%
BASE	No/ Not indigenous	90%	90%	94%	93%	87%	85%	93%	88%	90%	89%	88%	(110)	91%
	N	(598)	(269)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(73)	(171)	(211)	(79)	(32)	(150)	(448)	
BORN IN CANADA	Yes	85%	90%	83%	80%	93%	79%	89%	92%	86%	96%	73%		89%
BASE	No	15%	10%	17%	20%	7%	21%	11%	8%	14%	4%	27%	(207)	11%
PARENTS BORN IN	Both	(507)	(242)	(88)	(61)	(22)	(58)	(152)	(194)	(68)	(31)	(110)	(397)	000/
CANADA	One	80%	81%	74%	81%	89%	80%	78%	85%	76%	81%	80%		80%
	Neither	13%	12%	12%	13%	7%	16%	14%	8%	15%	11%	10%		14%
	INC - June 2021 Probe Om	8%	7%	14%	6%	4%	4%	8%	7%	9%	8%	10%		7%

				WI	NNIPEG REG	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
		TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
BASE		(600)	(126)	(108)	(104)	(148)	(111)	(289)	(304)	(187)	(238)	(176)
PROVINCIAL REGION	I	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
BASE		(597)	(126)	(108)	(104)	(148)	(111)	(288)	(303)	(185)	(237)	(176)
WINNIPEG REGION	Northwest	21%	100%					19%	23%	25%	15%	25%
	Northeast	18%		100%				19%	17%	17%	17%	21%
	Southeast	17%			100%			17%	18%	14%	18%	20%
	Southwest	25%				100%		26%	24%	25%	29%	20%
	Core	19%					100%	19%	17%	19%	21%	14%
BASE	•	(593)	(126)	(107)	(104)	(148)	(105)	(289)	(304)	(183)	(235)	(176)
GENDER	Male	49%	44%	51%	47%	51%	52%	100%		50%	51%	44%
	Female	51%	56%	49%	53%	49%	48%		100%	50%	49%	56%
BASE	1	(600)	(126)	(108)	(104)	(148)	(111)	(289)	(304)	(187)	(238)	(176)
AGE	18 - 34	31%	37%	29%	25%	31%	32%	31%	30%	100%	, <i>,</i>	, ,
	35 - 54	40%	28%	37%	41%	46%	46%	42%	38%		100%	
	55 +	29%	35%	34%	34%	23%	22%	27%	32%			100%
BASE	1	(289)	(55)	(55)	(48)	(75)	(54)	(289)		(91)	(121)	(78)
MALES	18 - 34	31%	27%	32%	17%	40%	34%	31%		100%		
	35 - 54	42%	39%	40%	41%	41%	49%	42%			100%	
	55 +	27%	34%	28%	42%	19%	17%	27%				100%
BASE		(304)	(71)	(52)	(55)	(73)	(51)		(304)	(92)	(114)	(98)
FEMALES	18 - 34	30%	44%	25%	32%	22%	27%		30%	100%	(111)	
	35 - 54	38%	21%	34%	40%	50%	42%		38%		100%	
	55 +	32%	36%	41%	28%	28%	31%		32%			100%
BASE		(584)	(122)	(106)	(100)	(148)	(104)	(281)	(296)	(181)	(231)	(171)
EDUCATION	HS or less	13%	17%	15%	11%	8%	15%	15%	11%	16%	8%	17%
	Post-Sec	34%	41%	40%	30%	27%	34%	32%	35%	35%	32%	34%
	Univ Grad	53%	42%	45%	58%	66%	51%	52%	54%	48%	60%	49%
BASE		(596)	(125)	(107)	(102)	(148)	(111)	(285)	(304)	(187)	(236)	(174)
CHILDREN <16 AT	Yes	26%	24%	35%	28%	25%	17%	26%	24%	21%	46%	3%
HOME	No	74%	76%	65%	72%	75%	83%	74%	76%	79%	54%	97%
BASE	1	(568)	(118)	(103)	(96)	(141)	(109)	(269)	(292)	(162)	(234)	(172)
RESIDENCE	Own	73%	76%	76%	80%	74%	61%	74%	73%	51%	82%	82%
	Rent	27%	24%	24%	20%	26%	39%	26%	27%	49%	18%	18%
BASE	1	(516)	(105)	(95)	(84)	(125)	(104)	(254)	(255)	(166)	(211)	(139)
HOUSEHOLD	< \$50K	18%	13%	17%	16%	13%	33%	16%	19%	25%	16%	14%
INCOME	\$50K-\$99K	39%	48%	43%	30%	36%	35%	40%	38%	39%	32%	49%
	\$100K +	43%	39%	40%	55%	51%	32%	44%	43%	36%	52%	38%
BASE	1	(573)	(119)	(105)	(101)	(140)	(107)	(271)	(296)	(173)	(231)	(169)
INDIGENOUS	Yes	10%	9%	15%	12%	7%	6%	10%	10%	9%	12%	8%
	No	90%	91%	85%	88%	93%	94%	90%	90%	91%	88%	92%
BASE		(548)	(117)	(98)	(95)	(134)	(103)	(261)	(281)	(173)	(213)	(163)

				WI	NNIPEG REGI	ON		GEN	DER		AGE	
		TOTAL	Northwest	Northeast	Southeast	Southwest	Core	Male	Female	18 - 34	35 - 54	55 +
PROV VOTE	PC	49%	47%	50%	43%	45%	62%	43%	54%	55%	48%	44%
INTENTION	NDP	19%	21%	19%	24%	21%	13%	29%	11%	16%	18%	25%
	LIB	14%	8%	14%	17%	18%	13%	13%	15%	14%	14%	13%
	GP	4%	3%	6%	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	2%	7%	3%
	(DK)	13%	21%	12%	12%	13%	8%	11%	16%	13%	12%	15%
BASE		(495)	(101)	(94)	(90)	(118)	(91)	(236)	(252)	(141)	(197)	(158)
VOTED LAST PROV	PC	35%	38%	41%	42%	36%	16%	45%	26%	23%	38%	41%
ELECTION	NDP	43%	40%	41%	42%	33%	60%	39%	45%	54%	38%	38%
	LIB	16%	15%	12%	12%	24%	16%	10%	22%	18%	14%	17%
	GP	7%	7%	6%	4%	8%	8%	6%	7%	5%	9%	4%

							N <16 AT							
				EDUCATION			ME		ENCE		JSEHOLD INCO			ENOUS
BASE		TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
PROVINCIAL REGION		(600)	(77)	(197)	(310)	(152)	(443)	(416)	(152)	(94)	(200)	(222)	(56)	(517)
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
BASE		(597)	(75)	(197)	(309)	(151)	(442)	(415)	(151)	(93)	(199)	(222)	(56)	(516)
WINNIPEG REGION	Northwest	21%	27%	25%	17%	20%	21%	22%	18%	14%	26%	19%	20%	21%
	Northeast	18%	22%	21%	15%	25%	16%	19%	17%	18%	21%	17%	28%	17%
	Southeast	17%	15%	15%	19%	19%	17%	18%	13%	14%	12%	21%	22%	17%
	Southwest	25%	15%	20%	32%	24%	25%	25%	24%	17%	23%	28%	18%	25%
	Core	19%	21%	18%	17%	12%	21%	16%	28%	37%	18%	15%	12%	19%
BASE		(593)	(74)	(195)	(308)	(149)	(441)	(412)	(150)	(90)	(198)	(221)	(56)	(511)
GENDER	Male	49%	57%	47%	48%	50%	48%	48%	46%	46%	51%	50%	46%	48%
	Female	51%	43%	53%	52%	50%	52%	52%	54%	54%	49%	50%	54%	52%
BASE		(600)	(77)	(197)	(310)	(152)	(443)	(416)	(152)	(94)	(200)	(222)	(56)	(517)
AGE	18 - 34	31%	38%	33%	28%	26%	33%	20%	52%	44%	32%	27%	27%	31%
	35 - 54	40%	24%	38%	45%	71%	29%	46%	28%	36%	34%	50%	49%	39%
	55 +	29%	38%	30%	27%	3%	38%	34%	20%	20%	34%	24%	24%	30%
BASE		(289)	(43)	(91)	(147)	(74)	(211)	(199)	(70)	(42)	(102)	(111)	(26)	(245)
MALES	18 - 34	31%	34%	32%	31%	18%	37%	19%	52%	45%	29%	28%	18%	31%
	35 - 54	42%	32%	42%	44%	77%	29%	50%	30%	34%	37%	50%	64%	40%
	55 +	27%	35%	26%	25%	5%	34%	31%	18%	20%	35%	23%	18%	29%
BASE		(304)	(32)	(103)	(161)	(74)	(230)	(212)	(80)	(49)	(97)	(110)	(30)	(266)
FEMALES	18 - 34	30%	39%	32%	27%	33%	29%	20%	51%	42%	36%	26%	35%	30%
	35 - 54	38%	15%	34%	45%	65%	29%	43%	26%	37%	31%	49%	36%	38%
	55 +	32%	46%	34%	29%	2%	42%	37%	23%	21%	33%	25%	30%	32%
BASE		(584)	(77)	(197)	(310)	(147)	(433)	(410)	(147)	(91)	(197)	(221)	(55)	(507)
EDUCATION	HS or less	13%	100%			5%	16%	12%	16%	24%	16%	6%	17%	12%
	Post-Sec	34%		100%		36%	33%	32%	36%	40%	32%	30%	40%	33%
	Univ Grad	53%			100%	59%	51%	56%	48%	36%	53%	64%	44%	55%
BASE		(596)	(77)	(196)	(308)	(152)	(443)	(413)	(152)	(94)	(200)	(220)	(55)	(515)
CHILDREN <16 AT	Yes	26%	10%	27%	28%	100%		31%	14%	22%	20%	35%	31%	25%
HOME	No	74%	90%	73%	72%		100%	69%	86%	78%	80%	65%	69%	75%
BASE		(568)	(71)	(186)	(300)	(150)	(416)	(416)	(152)	(93)	(198)	(214)	(48)	(499)
RESIDENCE	Own	73%	67%	71%	77%	86%	68%	100%		28%	71%	89%	66%	75%
	Rent	27%	33%	29%	23%	14%	32%		100%	72%	29%	11%	34%	25%
BASE		(516)	(65)	(166)	(278)	(138)	(376)	(358)	(146)	(94)	(200)	(222)	(42)	(455)
HOUSEHOLD	< \$50K	18%	34%	22%	12%	15%	20%	7%	46%	100%			21%	17%
INCOME	\$50K-\$99K	39%	47%	38%	37%	29%	42%	40%	39%		100%		43%	38%
	\$100K +	43%	20%	40%	51%	56%	38%	53%	16%	<u> </u>		100%	36%	44%
BASE		(573)	(72)	(189)	(301)	(145)	(424)	(404)	(143)	(87)	(193)	(216)	(56)	(517)
INDIGENOUS	Yes	10%	13%	12%	8%	12%	9%	8%	11%	10%	9%	7%	100%	
	No	90%	87%	88%	92%	88%	91%	92%	89%	90%	91%	93%		100%
BASE		(548)	(72)	(181)	(285)	(137)	(408)	(383)	(140)	(84)	(185)	(212)	(52)	(476)
PROV VOTE	PC	49%	33%	44%	56%	44%	51%	49%	51%	41%	52%	54%	53%	50%
INTENTION	NDP	19%	26%	22%	16%	20%	19%	21%	18%	24%	18%	20%	12%	20%
	LIB	14%	8%	16%	14%	16%	13%	13%	16%	18%	14%	13%	10%	14%
	GP	4%	7%	4%	4%	6%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	6%	4%
	(DK)	13%	25%	14%	10%	14%	13%	12%	12%	11%	13%	9%	19%	12%
BASE		(495)	(59)	(164)	(263)	(124)	(368)	(365)	(108)	(63)	(166)	(205)	(49)	(429)

				EDUCATION		CHILDRE HO		RESID	ENCE	HOU	JSEHOLD INCC	ME	INDIGE	NOUS
		TOTAL	HS or less	Post-Sec	Univ Grad	Yes	No	Own	Rent	< \$50K	\$50K-\$99K	\$100K +	Yes	No
VOTED LAST PROV	PC	35%	45%	40%	29%	41%	32%	39%	24%	25%	32%	40%	25%	36%
ELECTION	NDP	43%	35%	36%	48%	33%	46%	40%	49%	47%	46%	40%	52%	42%
	LIB	16%	9%	16%	18%	17%	16%	14%	23%	20%	14%	16%	16%	16%
	GP	7%	11%	9%	5%	8%	6%	7%	4%	8%	8%	4%	7%	6%

				PROV		NTION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTIO	2N	PALL	ISTER
		TOTAL	NDP	PC	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Approve	Disapprove
BASE		(600)	(269)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(73)	(172)	(212)	(79)	(32)	(150)	(450)
PROVINCIAL REGION	I	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
BASE		(597)	(268)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(73)	(172)	(210)	(79)	(32)	(148)	(449)
WINNIPEG REGION	Northwest	21%	20%	23%	12%	16%	34%	22%	19%	19%	22%	23%	21%
	Northeast	18%	18%	17%	18%	24%	16%	22%	18%	14%	16%	18%	18%
	Southeast	17%	15%	21%	21%	20%	16%	22%	18%	14%	10%	18%	17%
	Southwest	25%	22%	26%	32%	21%	23%	25%	18%	35%	28%	26%	24%
	Core	19%	24%	13%	17%	18%	12%	8%	26%	18%	24%	16%	19%
BASE		(593)	(263)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(73)	(172)	(205)	(79)	(32)	(150)	(443)
GENDER	Male	49%	42%	72%	46%	40%	40%	62%	45%	30%	43%	58%	46%
	Female	51%	58%	28%	54%	60%	60%	38%	55%	70%	57%	42%	54%
BASE		(600)	(269)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(73)	(172)	(212)	(79)	(32)	(150)	(450)
AGE	18 - 34	31%	35%	26%	32%	14%	31%	19%	36%	32%	23%	29%	32%
	35 - 54	40%	38%	37%	40%	66%	34%	44%	36%	35%	57%	37%	40%
	55 +	29%	27%	38%	28%	20%	34%	37%	28%	34%	21%	34%	28%
BASE	- 1	(289)	(111)	(77)	(35)	(9)	(29)	(107)	(92)	(23)	(14)	(86)	(203)
MALES	18 - 34	31%	32%	30%	29%		51%	20%	37%	28%	30%	32%	31%
	35 - 54	42%	44%	34%	51%	68%	24%	44%	40%	42%	51%	31%	46%
	55 +	27%	23%	37%	20%	32%	26%	36%	24%	30%	19%	36%	23%
BASE	1	(304)	(152)	(30)	(41)	(14)	(44)	(66)	(113)	(55)	(18)	(64)	(240)
FEMALES	18 - 34	30%	36%	16%	35%	23%	19%	17%	34%	33%	17%	25%	32%
	35 - 54	38%	34%	44%	31%	64%	41%	43%	32%	32%	60%	45%	36%
	55 +	32%	30%	40%	35%	13%	40%	40%	34%	35%	22%	30%	33%
BASE		(584)	(263)	(105)	(75)	(23)	(71)	(169)	(206)	(78)	(32)	(147)	(437)
EDUCATION	HS or less	13%	9%	18%	8%	22%	26%	15%	10%	7%	20%	19%	11%
	Post-Sec	34%	30%	39%	38%	22%	36%	39%	28%	33%	43%	37%	33%
	Univ Grad	53%	61%	44%	54%	49%	39%	46%	61%	60%	37%	44%	56%
BASE	onit ordu	(596)	(268)	(106)	(76)	(23)	(71)	(170)	(211)	(79)	(32)	(149)	(446)
CHILDREN <16 AT	Yes	26%	22%	26%	29%	33%	26%	30%	20%	27%	32%	29%	24%
HOME	No	74%	78%	74%	71%	67%	74%	70%	80%	73%	68%	71%	76%
BASE		(568)	(258)	(106)	(73)	(21)	(64)	(168)	(200)	(76)	(29)	(142)	(426)
RESIDENCE	Own	73%	73%	76%	70%	79%	73%	85%	74%	67%	84%	74%	73%
REDIDENCE	Rent	27%	27%	24%	30%	21%	27%	15%	26%	33%	16%	26%	27%
BASE	Rent	(516)	(244)	(97)	(69)	(19)	(53)	(149)	(188)	(69)	(27)	(128)	(388)
HOUSEHOLD	< \$50K	18%	(244)	21%	23%	21%	18%	10%	16%	18%	19%	21%	17%
INCOME	\$50K-\$99K	39%	39%	34%	37%	39%	46%	35%	41%	33%	49%	36%	40%
	\$100K +	43%	47%	45%	41%	40%	36%	54%	41%	49%	49% 32%	43%	40%
BASE	ψισσιτ	(573)	(263)	45%	(73)	(22)	(67)	(166)	(205)	49%	(31)	(143)	(430)
INDIGENOUS	Yes	(573)	(263)	6%	(73)	13%	(67)	7%	(205)	10%	(31)	12%	(430) 9%
	No	90%	90%	94%	93%	13%	85%	93%	88%	90%	89%	88%	9%
BASE		(548)	(269)	(106)	(76)	-		93%	(209)	90%			(412)
PROV VOTE	PC	<u> </u>	1 7	(106)	(70)	(23)	(73)	<u> </u>	()	(-)	(30)	(136)	· · /
INTENTION	-	49%	100%	4000/				17%	90%	35%	22%	12%	61%
	NDP	19%		100%				56%	1%	7%	10%	49%	10%
	LIB	14%			100%			13%	5%	44%	4%	13%	14%
	GP	4%				100%		1%	1%	1%	55%	3%	5%
	(DK)	13%					100%	14%	4%	13%	11%	23%	10%

				PROV	VOTE INTEN	ITION		VC	TED LAST P	ROV ELECTIO	ON	PALL	ISTER
		TOTAL	NDP	PC	LIB	GP	(DK)	PC	NDP	LIB	GP	Approve	Disapprove
BASE	()			(98)	(64)	(20)	(43)	(172)	(212)	(79)	(32)	(110)	(385)
VOTED LAST PROV	PC	35%	11%	89%	31%	5%	51%	100%				65%	26%
ELECTION	NDP	43%	76%	2%	16%	6%	19%		100%			13%	51%
	LIB	16%	10%	5%	51%	6%	23%			100%		17%	16%
	GP	7%	3%	3%	2%	83%	7%				100%	5%	7%